



# Stability of $n$ -bi-Jordan Homomorphisms on Commutative Algebras

A. Zivari-Kazempour

Department of Mathematics, Ayatollah Borujerdi University, Borujerd, Iran  
zivari6526@gmail.com

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove that every  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism between commutative algebras is an  $n$ -bi-ring homomorphism, and then we employ this result to show that to each approximate  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism  $\varphi$  between commutative Banach algebras there corresponds a unique  $n$ -bi-ring homomorphism near to  $\varphi$ .

**Keywords.** bi-additive;  $n$ -bi-homomorphism;  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism

**MSC.** Primary 47B48; Secondary 46L05, 46H25

**Received:** October 20, 2017

**Accepted:** June 2, 2018

Copyright © 2018 A. Zivari-Kazempour. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be complex Banach algebras and  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a linear map. Then  $\varphi$  is called  $n$ -homomorphism if for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\varphi(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = \varphi(a_1) \varphi(a_2) \dots \varphi(a_n).$$

The concept of  $n$ -homomorphism was studied for complex algebras by Hejazian *et al.* in [5]. A 2-homomorphism is then just a homomorphism, in the usual sense. One may refer to [1], for certain properties of 3-homomorphisms.

In [6], Herstein introduced the concept of an  $n$ -Jordan homomorphism. A linear map  $\varphi$  between Banach algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is called an  $n$ -Jordan homomorphism if

$$\varphi(a^n) = \varphi(a)^n, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

A 2-Jordan homomorphism is called simply a Jordan homomorphism. For characterization of Jordan and 3-Jordan homomorphism the reader is referred to [12], [13] and [14] and the references therein.

From the above definitions it follows that every  $n$ -homomorphism is an  $n$ -Jordan homomorphism, but in general the converse is false. The converse statement may be true under certain conditions. For example, Herstein in [6] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $\varphi$  is a Jordan homomorphism of a ring  $R$  onto a prime ring  $R'$  of characteristic different from 2 and 3, then either  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism.*

The next theorem is due to Zelazko [12]. Also, see [13] for another approach to the same result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach algebra, which need not be commutative, and suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Then each Jordan homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a homomorphism.*

Also it is shown in [2] that every  $n$ -Jordan homomorphism between two commutative Banach algebras is an  $n$ -homomorphism for  $n \in \{2, 3, 4\}$  and this result is extended to the case  $n = 5$  in [3]. Lee in [8] generalized this result and proved it for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . See also [4] for another proof of Lee's Theorem.

A classical question in the theory of functional equations is that "When is it true that a mapping which approximately satisfies a functional equation  $\mathcal{E}$  must be somehow close to an exact solution of  $\mathcal{E}$ ?" Such a problem was formulated by Ulam [11] in 1940 and solved in the next year for the Cauchy functional equation by Hyers [7]. It gave rise to the stability theory for functional equations.

Th. M. Rassias [10] considered a generalized version of the Hyers's result which permitted the Cauchy difference to become unbounded.

In [9], Miura *et al.* investigated the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jordan homomorphisms, and it is extended to  $n$ -Jordan homomorphisms in [3] and [8].

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a two normed (Banach) algebra and set  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is a normed (Banach) algebra for the multiplication

$$(a, b)(x, y) = (ax, by), \quad (a, b), (x, y) \in \mathcal{U},$$

and with norm

$$\|(a, b)\| = \|a\| + \|b\|.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a normed (Banach) algebra and let  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a map. Then we say that  $\varphi$  is bi-additive, if

$$\varphi(a + x, b + y) = \varphi(a, b) + \varphi(x, y), \quad (a, b), (x, y) \in \mathcal{U},$$

and it is called  $n$ -bi-multiplicative, if

$$\varphi(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n, y_1 y_2 \dots y_n) = \varphi(x_1, y_1) \varphi(x_2, y_2) \dots \varphi(x_n, y_n),$$

for all  $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathcal{U}$ . If  $\varphi$  is bi-additive and  $n$ -bi-multiplicative, then it is called  $n$ -bi-ring homomorphism. We say that a bi-additive mapping  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is an  $n$ -bi-Jordan

homomorphisms if  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$\varphi(x^n, y^n) = \varphi(x, y)^n, \quad (x, y) \in \mathcal{U}.$$

We remark that in case  $n = 2$  we speak about bi-ring homomorphism and bi-Jordan homomorphism, respectively. It is obvious that each  $n$ -bi-ring homomorphism is an  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism, but in general the converse is false.

For bi-Jordan homomorphism the next result obtained by the author in [15].

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Banach algebra, which need not be commutative, and suppose  $\mathcal{D}$  is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra. Then each bi-Jordan homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a bi-ring homomorphism.*

In this paper, we first prove that each  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , between commutative algebras, is an  $n$ -bi-ring homomorphism and then we applying this fact to prove that to each approximate  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism  $\varphi$  there corresponds a unique  $n$ -bi-ring homomorphism near to  $\varphi$ .

In the next section we present basic concepts and some needed results to construct Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism between commutative algebras. The conclusion will be presented at the end.

## 2. Main Results

Let  $G, H$  be two abelian groups,  $X$  be a complex linear space and  $f: G \times H \rightarrow X$  a function. For all  $(a, b) \in G \times H$ , we define the *difference operator*  $\Delta_{(a,b)}$  on  $f$  by

$$\Delta_{(a,b)}f(x, y) = f(a + x, b + y) - f(x, y),$$

whenever  $(x, y) \in G \times H$ . Further for all positive integer  $n$  and for  $(a_i, b_i) \in G \times H$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let

$$\Delta_{(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)}f = \Delta_{(a_1, b_1)} \dots \Delta_{(a_n, b_n)}f.$$

The function  $F: (G \times H)^n \rightarrow X$  is called  $n$ -bi-additive if  $F$  is bi-additive in each of its variables.

For the sake of brevity we use the notation  $(G \times H)^0 = G \times H$  and we call constant functions from  $G \times H$  to  $X$ , 0-bi-additive.

Suppose that  $F: (G \times H)^n \rightarrow X$  is an arbitrary function. By the trace of  $F$  we understand the function  $\Phi: G \times H \rightarrow X$  arising from  $F$  by putting all the variables from  $G \times H$  equal, that is,

$$\Phi(x, y) = F[(x, y), \dots, (x, y)], \quad (x, y) \in G \times H.$$

The function  $f: G \times H \rightarrow X$  is called *bi-polynomial function* of degree at most  $n$ , if for all  $(x, y)$ ,  $(a_i, b_i) \in G \times H$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ , the equation

$$\Delta_{(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_{n+1}, b_{n+1})}f(x, y) = 0,$$

is satisfied. For example, the function  $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x, y) = x + y$  is a *bi-polynomial function* of degree at most one.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $F : (G \times H)^n \rightarrow X$  be a symmetric and  $n$ -biadditive function. Then

$$\Delta_{(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_k, b_k)} \Phi(x, y) = \begin{cases} n! F[(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)] & \text{for } k = n, \\ 0 & \text{for } k > n, \end{cases}$$

whenever  $(x, y), (a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n) \in G \times H$  and  $\Phi : G \times H \rightarrow X$  denotes the trace of  $F$ .

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward. □

Now we give a characterization of  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism.

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are two commutative algebra. Then each  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a  $n$ -bi-ring homomorphism.

*Proof.* Define  $F : \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  by

$$F[(a, b), (x, y)] = \varphi(ax, by) - \varphi(a, b)\varphi(x, y),$$

and let  $\Phi$  be a trace of  $F$ . Since  $\varphi$  is bi-additive, the function  $F$  is bi-additive and symmetric, therefore by Lemma 2.1,

$$\Delta_{(a, b), (x, y)} \Phi(u, v) = 2F[(a, b), (x, y)],$$

for all  $(a, b), (x, y), (u, v) \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Now suppose that  $\varphi$  is bi-Jordan homomorphism. Then  $\Phi(u, v) = 0$ , and so

$$2F[(a, b), (x, y)] = \Delta_{(a, b), (x, y)} \Phi(u, v) = 0,$$

which proves that  $F[(a, b), (x, y)] = 0$  for all  $(a, b), (x, y) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Hence

$$\varphi(ax, by) = \varphi(a, b)\varphi(x, y),$$

for all  $(a, b), (x, y) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus, the result is valid for  $n = 2$ . A similar discussion reveals that the result will be established for  $n > 2$ . □

The following result is Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6 of [15].

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a normed algebra, let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a Banach algebra, let  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $p, q$  be a real numbers such that  $(p-1)(q-1) > 0$ ,  $q \geq 0$ , or  $(p-1)(q-1) > 0$ ,  $q < 0$  and  $\varphi(0, 0) = 0$ . Assume that  $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  satisfies

$$\|\varphi(a+x, b+y) - \varphi(a, b) - \varphi(x, y)\| \leq \varepsilon (\|(a, b)\|^p + \|(x, y)\|^p), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|\varphi(x^n, y^n) - \varphi(x, y)^n\| \leq \delta \|(x, y)\|^{nq}, \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $(a, b), (x, y) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then, there exists a unique  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism  $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that

$$\|F(x, y) - \varphi(x, y)\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{|2 - 2^p|} \|(x, y)\|^p, \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{U}$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 2.2 and 2.3, we have the following.

**Corollary 2.4.** By hypotheses of above Theorem, if  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are commutative, then there exists a unique  $n$ -bi-ring homomorphism  $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that satisfies (2.3).

By a same method of [4, Theorem 1.4], we get the following result.

**Theorem 2.5.** *The function  $P : G \times H \rightarrow X$  is a bi-polynomial of degree at most  $n$  if and only if there exist symmetric,  $k$ -bi-additive functions  $F_k : (G \times H)^k \rightarrow X$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  such that*

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \Phi_k(x, y),$$

where  $\Phi_k : G \times H \rightarrow X$  denotes the trace of the function  $F_k$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two abelian groups and let  $X$  be a locally convex topological linear space. If a bi-polynomial  $P : G \times H \rightarrow X$  is bounded on  $G \times H$ , then it is constant.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.5,

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \Phi_k(x, y),$$

where  $\Phi_k : G \times H \rightarrow X$  denotes the trace of symmetric,  $k$ -bi-additive function  $F_k : (G \times H)^k \rightarrow X$ . That is, for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\Phi_k(x, y) = F_k[(x, y), \dots, (x, y)].$$

Obviously, it is enough to prove that  $\Phi_k(x, y) = 0$ , for all  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$F_n[(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)] = \frac{1}{n!} \Delta_{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)} P(x, y). \tag{2.4}$$

Since the right hand of the equality (2.4) is of the form

$$\sum (-1)^{n-k} P(x + x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{j_k}, y + y_{j_1} + \dots + y_{j_k}),$$

where

$$0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k < n \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k < n,$$

so  $F_n$  is bounded.

On the other hand, for  $k > 0$  the  $k$ -bi-additivity of  $F_k$  implies that

$$\Phi_k(mx, my) = m^k \Phi_k(x, y),$$

for all  $(x, y) \in G \times H$ , and for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now assume that  $\Phi_k(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$  for some  $(x_0, y_0) \in G \times H$ . Choose a balanced and absorbing neighborhood  $U \subset X$  of the zero such that  $\Phi_k(x_0, y_0) \notin U$ . As  $\Phi_k$  is bounded, there is a real  $\lambda$  for which

$$m^k \Phi_k(x_0, y_0) = \Phi_k(mx_0, my_0) \in \lambda U,$$

for all positive integers  $m$ . Then  $\lambda m^{-k} < 1$  for some  $m$ , and we have

$$\Phi_k(x_0, y_0) = m^{-k} \Phi_k(mx_0, my_0) \in \lambda m^{-k} U \subset U,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\Phi_k(x, y) = 0$  for all  $(x, y) \in G \times H$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . □

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a bi-additive function between normed algebras  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ . Suppose that*

$$\|\varphi(x^n, y^n) - \varphi(x, y)^n\| \leq \delta \|(x, y)\|,$$

for some  $\delta > 0$  and for all  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is an  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism.

*Proof.* With the help of the function  $\varphi$  we define the mapping  $F$  on  $\mathcal{U}^n$  by

$$F[(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)] = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \varphi[(x_1, y_1)_{\sigma(1)} \dots (x_n, y_n)_{\sigma(n)}] - \varphi[(x_1, y_1)_{\sigma(1)}] \dots \varphi[(x_n, y_n)_{\sigma(n)}],$$

where  $S_n$  denotes the symmetric group of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Clearly, the function  $F$  is symmetric under all permutations of its variables. Due to the bi-additivity of the function  $\varphi$ , the function  $F$  is  $n$ -bi-additive. So its trace

$$\Phi(x, y) = F[(x, y), \dots, (x, y)] = n![\varphi(x^n, y^n) - \varphi(x, y)^n], \quad (x, y) \in \mathcal{U}.$$

is a bi-polynomial function of degree at most  $n$ . On the other hand, from the assumption of the theorem, the function  $\Phi$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{U}$ , therefore by Theorem 2.6 we get  $\Phi(x, y) = c$ , where  $c$  is the constant element. Since  $\varphi$  is bi-additive we have  $\varphi(0, 0) = 0$ , hence

$$c = \Phi(0, 0) = n![\varphi(0, 0) - \varphi(0, 0)^n] = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\Phi(x, y) = 0$  for all  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{U}$ . That is,

$$\varphi(x^n, y^n) = \varphi(x, y)^n,$$

holds for all  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{U}$ . This complete the proof.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 2.7 we deduce the next result.

**Corollary 2.8.** *By hypotheses of Theorem 2.7, if  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are commutative, then  $\varphi$  is a  $n$ -bi-ring homomorphism.*

### 3. Conclusion

This paper characterize of  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism, and then generalize some well-known results in the area of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism between commutative algebras. On the other word, the paper prove that to each approximate  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  there corresponds a unique  $n$ -bi-ring homomorphism near to  $\varphi$ . Concluding remarks, the superstability of  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphism is also obtained.

### Acknowledgement

The author gratefully acknowledges the helpful comments of the anonymous referees. This research was partially supported by the grant from Ayatollah Borujerdi University with No. 15664–160464.

### Competing Interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

### Authors' Contributions

The author wrote, read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- [1] J. Bračič and M. S. Moslehian, On automatic continuity of 3-homomorphisms on Banach algebras, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc.* **30**(2) (2007), 195 – 200.
- [2] M. Eshaghi Gordji,  $n$ -Jordan homomorphisms, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **80**(1) (2009), 159 – 164.
- [3] M.E. Gordji, T. Karimi and S.K. Gharetapeh, Approximately  $n$ -Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras, *J. Ineq. Appl.* **2009** (2009), Article ID 870843, 8 pages.
- [4] E. Gselmann, On approximate  $n$ -Jordan homomorphisms, *Annales Math. Silesianae.* **28** (2014), 47 – 58.
- [5] Sh. Hejazian, M. Mirzavaziri and M.S. Moslehian,  $n$ -homomorphisms, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **31** (1) (2005), 13 – 23.
- [6] I.N. Herstein, Jordan homomorphisms, *Tran. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81**(1) (1956), 331 – 341.
- [7] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **27** (1941), 222 – 224.
- [8] Y.H. Lee, Stability of  $n$ -Jordan homomorphisms from a Normed algebra to a Banach algebra, *Abst. Appl. Anal.* **2013** (2013), Article ID 691025, 5 pages.
- [9] T. Miura, S.E. Takahasi and G. Hirasawa, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras, *J. Ineq. Appl.* **2005** (4) (2005), 435 – 441.
- [10] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 297 – 300.
- [11] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter VI, Wiley, New York (1960).
- [12] W. Zelazko, A characterization of multiplicative linear functionals in complex Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* **30** (1968), 83 – 85.
- [13] A. Zivari-Kazempour, A characterization of Jordan homomorphism on Banach algebras, *Chinese J. Math.* **2014** (2014), 3 pages.
- [14] A. Zivari-Kazempour, A characterization of 3-Jordan homomorphism on Banach algebras, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **93** (2) (2016), 301 – 306.
- [15] A. Zivari-Kazempour, Stability of  $n$ -bi-Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras, *J. Math. Anal.* **8** (2) (2017), 73 – 79.