#### **Communications in Mathematics and Applications**

Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 461-469, 2025

ISSN 0975-8607 (online); 0976-5905 (print)

Published by RGN Publications

DOI: 10.26713/cma.v16i2.3249



Research Article

# A Note on Multiplicative Fuzzy on BP-Algebras

V. Abisha <sup>®</sup>, N. Kandaraj\* <sup>®</sup> and V. Thiruveni <sup>®</sup>

PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Saiva Bhanu Kshatriya College (affiliated to Madurai Kamaraj University), Madurai, Aruppukottai 626 101, Tamil Nadu, India

\*Corresponding author: n.kandarajsbkc1998@email.com

**Received:** May 26, 2025 **Revised:** July 5, 2025 **Accepted:** July 15, 2025

Communicated by: Pavel Sokolov

**Abstract.** Multiplicative fuzzy sets are defined by combining the membership values of the elements in a fuzzy set. This can be considered a generalization of fuzzy sets. Multiplicative fuzzy sets are useful in areas like quantum mechanics. In this paper, we define multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-algebras using the multiplication operation on membership functions and investigate some of their properties. Multiplicative fuzzy topological *BP*-algebras are also discussed.

Keywords. Absorbing subset, BP-Absorbing subset, Graded set, Multiplicative Fuzzy BP-Algebra

 $\textbf{Mathematics Subject Classification (2020).} \ 03G25, 06F35, 46J05, 46H05$ 

Copyright © 2025 V. Abisha, N. Kandaraj and V. Thiruveni. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### 1. Introduction

Imai and Iseki [11] presented a pair of important categories of hypothetical algebras: BCK and BCI algebras in 1966. Among these algebras, BCK-algebras are recognized as a proper subclass within the wider class of BCI-algebras. Later, Dudeck and Zhang [8] proposed the concept of BCC-ideals in the framework of BCC-algebras, while Foster [10] studied fuzzy topological groups. Following this, Meng  $et\ al.$  [15] introduced fuzzy implicative ideals of BCK-algebras. Akram and Dar [5] investigated the fuzziness in d-algebras. Building on this, Dudek and Jun [7] extended the theory by developing the fuzzification of BCC-ideals. Lee and Ryu [13] studied topological BCK-algebras, while Ahmed and Ahmed [2] studied Fuzzy BCK-algebras. Annalakshmi and Chandramouleeswaran [6], Jansi and Thiruveni [12] respectively extended this fuzzification to the topological system of TM-algebras and BF-algebras. In our earlier paper,

we investigated the topologies on BP-algebras (Abisha and Kandaraj [1]). In this research, we present the concept of multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebras as the natural extension of fuzzy subalgebras within BP-algebras. We examine a range of fundamental properties associated with these structures, particularly their homomorphic images and inverse images. Since Graded sets offer a suitable foundation for generalizing classical topological ideas into fuzzy topological spaces, we explore the integration of fuzzy topological structures with multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebras. This fusion gives rise to what we refer to as multiplicative fuzzy topological BP-algebras.

#### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** ([3]). Let X be a non-null set equipped with a bivariate function \* and a fixed element 0. The algebraic structure (X, \*, 0) is known as a BP-algebra if it fulfills the following three fundamental conditions:

- (i) x \* x = 0: This axiom states that the binary operation applied to any element with itself yields the constant 0. It reflects an idempotent-like property that serves as a key characteristic of BP-algebras.
- (ii) x \* (x \* y) = y: It indicates a symmetry related to the operation's inverse and it means that the operation \*, when applied in the form x \* (x \* y), behaves like a form of inverse or complementation, effectively cancelling out the first.
- (iii) (x\*z)\*(y\*z) = x\*y, for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

This identity ensures that the effect of "subtracting" the same element z from both x and y does not change their relative difference. It's a kind of invariance under a common operation with z.

**Proposition 2.2** ([3]). If (X, \*, 0) is a BP-algebra, then several useful properties can be derived from its axioms.

For any  $x, y \in X$ , the following hold:

- (i) 0\*(0\*x) = x: This is a recovery property, starting from x, applying the operation with 0 twice brings x.
- (ii) x \* (x \* y) = y: This reaffirms the second axiom, emphasizing its importance as a fundamental identity in BP-algebras.
- (iii) x\*0=x: This indicates that the constant 0 behaves like a right identity under the operation \*.
- (iv)  $x * y = 0 \Longrightarrow y * x = 0$ : This is a symmetry condition on the operation result 0. It implies a mutual equivalence in some sense.
- (v)  $x = y \Longrightarrow 0 * x = 0 * y$ : This shows that the operation with 0 is injective. If applying 0 to two different elements gives the same result, the elements must be equal.
- (vi) (x\*y) = (x\*z)\*(y\*z): This restates the third axiom, reinforcing the invariance of difference under the same transformation.
- (vii)  $0 * x = x \Longrightarrow x * y = y * x$ : If x is fixed under operation with 0, then the operation becomes symmetric for that element with all others.

**Proposition 2.3** ([3]). If the BP-algebra (X, \*, 0) satisfies the condition (x \* y) \* z = x \* (z \* y) for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then 0 \* x = x for every  $x \in X$ .

This additional condition strengthens the structure, suggesting that every element x is preserved when operated on by 0 from the left, indicating a form of left identity behavior for 0 under a special associatively.

**Theorem 2.4** ([3]). In a BP-algebra (X, \*, 0), if for any  $x, y \in X$ , y \* x = 0 and x \* y = 0, then x = y.

This theorem confirms that the operation \* distinguishes elements precisely when both directions of the operation are defined.

**Definition 2.5** ([8]). Let  $S \neq \varphi$  be a subset of a *BP*-algebra X. Then S is called a *BP*-subalgebra of X if for all  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x * y \in S$ .

This definition ensures that the subset S is closed under the binary operation  $\ast$  and thus retains the structure of the BP-algebra.

**Definition 2.6** ([8]). Let (X, \*, 0) be a *BP*-algebra and let *I* be a non-empty subset of *X*. Then *I* is said to be an Absorbing subset (Ideal) of *X* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $0 \in I$ : The Absorbing subset must contain the distinguished constant element 0.
- (ii)  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I \Longrightarrow x \in I$ : This condition ensures the absorption or closure operation, showing that the presence of y and x \* y implies the presence of x.

**Definition 2.7** ([8]). Let (X, \*, 0) be a BP-algebra and I be a non-empty subset of X. Then I is called a BP-Absorbing subset (BP-Ideal) of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $0 \in I$ : The neutral element must be in the *BP*-Absorbing subset.
- (2)  $(x * y) * z \in I$  and  $y \in I \Longrightarrow x * z \in I$ : This condition extends the absorption behavior to a more generalized form, involving three elements and nested operations.

**Lemma 2.8** ([8]). In a BP-algebra X, any BP-Absorbing subset I is an Absorbing subset of X.

This lemma connects the concepts of *BP*-Absorbing subsets and Absorbing subsets, showing that the stronger condition of being a *BP*-Absorbing subset automatically satisfies the more general definition of an Absorbing subset.

**Remark 2.9** ([9]). Every *BP*-Absorbing subset in a *BP*-algebra is also a *BP*-subalgebra, but not all *BP*-subalgebras need to be a *BP*-Absorbing subsets.

Similarly, every Absorbing subset of a *BP*-algebra is *BP*-subalgebra, but not all *BP*-subalgebras qualify as Absorbing subsets.

**Definition 2.10** ([4]). Let (X, \*, 0) be a *BP*-algebra. Define a binary relation " $\leq$ " by  $x \leq y$  if x \* y = 0. This relation is known as a BP-order on X.

It can be shown that this relation forms a partially ordered set X.

**Definition 2.11** ([14]). Let X be a non-empty set. A mapping  $\mu: X \to [0,1]$  is called a fuzzy set (or graded set) in X. The complement of  $\mu$  is denoted by  $\overline{\mu}(x) = 1 - \mu(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

### 3. Multiplicative Fuzzy on BP-algebras

**Definition 3.1.** A graded set X in a BP-algebra B with membership function  $\mu_X$  is called a fuzzy BP-subalgebra if  $\mu_X(a*b) \ge \min\{\mu_X(a), \mu_X(b)\}$ , for all  $a, b \in B$ .

This ensures that the membership value of the product a \* b is at least as much as the smaller of the membership values of a and b. This aligns with the idea that the operation stays "within" the fuzzy substructure.

**Definition 3.2.** A graded set  $(X, \mu_X)$  in B is called a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra if it satisfies the inequality  $\mu_X(a*b) \ge \mu_X(a) \cdot \mu_X(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in B$ .

Instead of using the minimum, this uses multiplication of membership values. It is a stricter condition and ensures more "gradation" of inclusion based on fuzzy logic.

**Example 3.3.** This example demonstrates that multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-algebras can exist without being fuzzy *BP*-subalgebras, showing the independence of definitions.

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	1	0	0
4	4	3	4	3	0

Let  $(X, \mu_X)$  be a graded set in B defined by  $\mu_X(4) = 0.4$  and  $\mu_X(a) = 0.8$ , for all  $a \neq 4$ .

It is effortless to check that  $(X, \mu_X)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-algebra in *B*.

Let  $(Y, \mu_Y)$  be a fuzzy set in B defined by

$$\mu_Y(0) = 0.38$$
,  $\mu_Y(1) = 0.4$ ,  $\mu_Y(2) = 0.3$ ,  $\mu_Y(3) = 0.6$  and  $\mu_Y(4) = 0.2$ .

By routine calculation, we can show that  $(Y, \mu_Y)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in B.

Note that every fuzzy BP-subalgebra is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra, but the converse may not be true.

For example, the multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-algebra  $(Y, \mu_Y)$  in Example 3.3 is not a fuzzy *BP*-subalgebra since  $\mu_Y(1*3) = \mu_Y(0) = 0.38 < 0.4 = \min\{\mu_Y(1), \mu_Y(3)\}$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** If  $(X, \mu_X)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in B, then  $\mu_X(0) \ge (\mu_X(a))^2$ , for all  $a \in B$ .

*Proof.* Since a \* a = 0, for all  $a \in B$ , we have

$$\mu_X(0) = \mu_X(a * a) \ge \mu_X(a) \cdot \mu_X(a) = (\mu_X(a))^2$$
, for all  $a \in B$ .

Communications in Mathematics and Applications, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 461–469, 2025

Using the identity a \* a = 0, this gives a lower bound for the membership value of 0 in terms of any other element's membership.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $(X, \mu_X)$  be a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in B. If there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in B such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\mu_X(a_n))^2 = 1$ , then  $\mu_X(0) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Using Proposition 3.4, we have

$$\mu_X(0) \ge (\mu_X(a_n))^2$$
, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since

$$1 \ge \mu_X(0)$$

$$\ge \lim_{n \to \infty} (\mu_X(\alpha_n))^2$$

$$= 1.$$

Hence,  $\mu_X(0) = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** If  $(X, \mu_X)$  and  $(Y, \mu_Y)$  are multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebras in B, then  $(X \cap Y, \mu_{X \cap Y})$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in B$ . Then

$$(\mu_{X \cap Y})(a * b) = \min\{\mu_X(a * b), \mu_Y(a * b)\}$$

$$\geq \min\{\mu_X(a) \cdot \mu_X(b), \mu_Y(a) \cdot \mu_Y(b)\}$$

$$\geq (\min\{\mu_X(a), \mu_Y(a)\}) \cdot (\min\{\mu_X(b), \mu_Y(b)\})$$

$$= \mu_{X \cap Y}(a) \cdot \mu_{X \cap Y}(b).$$

Hence,  $(X \cap Y, \mu_{X \cap Y})$  is a multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-algebra.

This proves that the class of multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebras is *closed under intersection*, preserving the structure under set-theoretic operations.

**Remark 3.7.** A graded set  $(X, \mu_X)$  in B is a fuzzy BP-subalgebra of B if and only if the nonempty level subset  $S(X; u) = \{a \in B/\mu_X(a) \ge u\}$  is a BP-subalgebra of B for every  $u \in [0, 1]$ . But, we know that there is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra such that for some  $u \in [0, 1]$ , S(X; u) is not a BP-subalgebra of B as seen in the following example.

Not all multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-algebras have level subsets that satisfy subalgebra properties, highlighting a *limitation* of the structure.

**Example 3.8.** Consider the *BP*-algebra  $B = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  given in Example 3.3.

Let  $(X, \mu_X)$  be a graded set in B defined by  $\mu_X(0) = \mu_X(2) = \mu_X(3) = 0.8$ ,  $\mu_X(1) = 0.7$ , and  $\mu_X(4) = 0.4$ . Then  $(X, \mu_X)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra.

But  $S(X;0.8) = \{0,2,3\}$  is not a *BP*-subalgebra of *B* since  $3 * 2 = 1 \notin \{0,2,3\}$ .

This gives a *counterexample* to the general assumption that level subsets of multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-subalgebras form subalgebras.

**Theorem 3.9.** If  $(X, \mu_X)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in B, then S(X; 1) is either empty or a BP-subalgebra of B.

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in S(X; 1)$ . Then  $\mu_X(a) = 1 = \mu_X(b)$  which implies

$$\mu_X(a*b) \ge \mu_X(a) \cdot \mu_X(b) = 1.$$

Hence  $\mu_X(a * b) = 1$  (that is  $a * b \in S(X; 1)$ ).

Consequently, S(X;1) is a *BP*-subalgebra of *B*.

Only the full-membership elements (value = 1) form a subalgebra, ensuring crisp substructure forms a fuzzy algebra.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $f: B \to C$  be a homomorphism of BP-algebras. If  $(Y, \mu_Y)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in C, then the inverse image  $(f^{-1}(Y), \mu_{f^{-1}(Y)})$  of  $(Y, \mu_Y)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in B.

*Proof.* For any  $a_1, a_2 \in B$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mu_{f^{-1}(Y)}(a_1*a_2) &= \mu_Y(f(a_1*a_2)) \\ &= \mu_Y(f(a_1)*f(a_2)) \\ &= \mu_Y(f(a_1) \cdot \mu_Y(f(a_2)) \\ &= \mu_{f^{-1}(Y)}(a_1) \cdot \mu_{f^{-1}(Y)}(a_2). \end{split}$$

Hence,  $(f^{-1}(Y), \mu_{f-1}(Y))$  is a multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-algebra in *B*.

Fuzzy structure is preserved backward through homomorphisms, allowing fuzzy properties to be inherited.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $f: B \to C$  be an onto homomorphism of BP-algebras. If  $(X, \mu_X)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in B, then the image  $(f(X), \mu_{f(X)})$  of  $(X, \mu_X)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in C.

*Proof.* For any  $b_1, b_2 \in C$ , let  $G_1 = f^{-1}(b_1)$ ,  $G_2 = f^{-1}(b_2)$  and  $G_{1*2} = f^{-1}(b_1 * b_2)$ . Consider the set

$$G_1 * G_2 = \{ a \in B/a = x_1 * x_2, x_1 \in G_1, x_2 \in G_2 \}$$
$$= \{ a \in B/a = x_1 * x_2, x_1 \in G_1, x_2 \in G_2 \}.$$

To prove:  $G_1 * G_2 \subseteq G_{1*2}$ .

If  $a \in G_1 * G_2$ , then  $a = a_1 * a_2$ , for some  $a_1 \in G_1$  and  $a_2 \in G_2$ .

Hence  $f(a) = f(a_1 * a_2) = f(a_1) * f(a_2) = b_1 * b_2$  and so  $a \in f^{-1}(b_1 * b_2) = G_{1*2}$ .

Now we have

$$\mu_{f(X)}(b_1 * b_2) = \sup_{a \in f^{-1}(b_1 * b_2)} \mu_X(a)$$

$$= \sup_{a \in G_{1*2}} \mu_X(a)$$

$$\geq \sup_{a \in G_{1*}G_2} \mu_X(a)$$

$$\geq \sup_{a_1 \in G_1, a_2 \in G_2} \mu_X(a_1 * a_2)$$

$$\geq \sup_{a_1 \in G_1, a_2 \in G_2} \mu_X(a_1) \cdot \mu_X(a_2).$$

Since  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is continuous, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that if

$$a_i \ge \sup_{a_i \in G_i} \mu_X(a_i) - \lambda$$
, for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Then

$$\overline{a}_1 \cdot \overline{a}_2 \ge \sup_{a_1 \in G_1} \mu_X(a_1) \cdot \sup_{a_2 \in G_2} \mu_X(a_2) - \delta.$$

Choose  $x_1 \in G_1$  and  $x_2 \in G_2$  such that

$$\mu_X(x_1) \ge \sup_{a_1 \in G_1} \mu_X(a_1) - \lambda$$

and

$$\mu_X(x_2) \ge \sup_{\alpha_2 \in G_2} \mu_X(\alpha_2) - \lambda.$$

Then

$$\mu_X(x_1) \cdot \mu_X(x_2) \ge \sup_{a_1 \in G_1} \mu_X(a_1) \cdot \sup_{a_2 \in G_2} \mu_X(a_2) - \delta$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \mu_{f(X)}(b_1*b_2) &\geq \sup_{a_1 \in G_1, a_2 \in G_2} \mu_X(a_1) \cdot \mu_X(a_2) \\ &\geq \sup_{a_1 \in G_1} \mu_X(a_1) \cdot \sup_{a_2 \in G_2} \mu_X(a_2) \\ &= \mu_{f(X)}(b_1) \cdot \mu_{f(X)}(b_2). \end{split}$$

Hence,  $(f(X), \mu_{f(X)})$  is a multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-algebra in *C*.

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $(X, \mu_X)$  be a fuzzy set in B and let  $(R, \mu_R)$  be a left fuzzy relation on  $(X, \mu_X)$ . If  $(R, \mu_R)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra on  $B \times B$ , then  $(X, \mu_X)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in B.

*Proof.* Let  $(R, \mu_R)$  be a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in  $B \times B$ , and let  $a, b, s, t \in B$ . Then

$$\mu_X(a*b) = \mu_R((a*b) \cdot (s*t))$$

$$= \mu_R((a \cdot s) * (b \cdot t))$$

$$\geq \mu_R(a \cdot s) \cdot \mu_R(b \cdot t)$$

$$= \mu_X(a) \cdot \mu_X(b).$$

This follows that  $(X, \mu_X)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-algebra in *B*.

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $(R, \mu_R)$  be a fuzzy relation on B and let  $(Y, \mu_Y)$  be a fuzzy set in B given by  $\mu_Y(a) = \inf\{\mu_R(a,b), \mu_R(b,a)/b \in B\}$ , for all  $a \in B$ . If  $(R, \mu_R)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in  $B \times B$  satisfying the condition  $\mu_R(a,0) = 1 = \mu_R(0,a)$  for all  $a \in B$ , then  $(Y, \mu_Y)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebra in B.

*Proof.* Suppose  $a, b, c \in B$ , we have

$$\mu_{R}(a * b, c) = \mu_{R}(a * b, c * 0)$$

$$= \mu_{R}((a, c) * (b, 0))$$

$$\geq \mu_{R}(a, c) \cdot \mu_{R}(b, 0)$$

$$= \mu_{R}(a, c)$$

and

$$\mu_{R}(c, a * b) = \mu_{R}(c * 0, a * b)$$

$$= \mu_{R}((c, a) * (0, b))$$

$$\geq \mu_{R}(c, a) \cdot \mu_{R}(0, b)$$

$$= \mu_{R}(c, a).$$

It follows that

$$\mu_{R}(a * b, c) \cdot \mu_{R}(c, a * b) \ge \mu_{R}(a, c) \cdot \mu_{R}(c, a)$$

$$\ge \{\mu_{R}(a, c) \cdot \mu_{R}(c, a)\} \cdot \{\mu_{R}(b, c) \cdot \mu_{R}(c, b)\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \mu_R(a,b) &= \inf\{\mu_R(a*b,c) \cdot \mu_R(c,a*b)/c \in B\} \\ &\geq \inf\{\mu_R(a,c) \cdot \mu_R(c,a)/c \in B\} \cdot \inf\{\mu_R(b,c) \cdot \mu_R(c,b)/c \in B\} \\ &= \mu_R(a) \cdot \mu_R(b). \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $(Y, \mu_Y)$  is a multiplicative fuzzy *BP*-algebra in *B*.

#### Conclusion

The research introduces the concept of multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebras as a natural extension of fuzzy subalgebras within BP-algebras. This work explores the integration of fuzzy topological structure with multiplicative fuzzy BP-algebras, leading to the definition of multiple fuzzy topological BP-algebras. We have examined the fundamental properties of these structures, particularly the relation to homomorphic images and inverse images. In the future, we can explore computational methods and algorithms related to these structures.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments, which improved the paper.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### References

- [1] V. Abisha and N. Kandaraj, Topology on BP-algebras, *Ratio Mathematica* **49** (2023), 116 126, URL: https://eiris.it/ojs/index.php/ratiomathematica/article/view/116-126/pdf.
- [2] M. A. Ahmed and E. A. Ahmed, uzzy BCK-algebras, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics* 8(5) (2020), 927 932, DOI: 10.4236/jamp.2020.85071.
- [3] S.-S. Ahn and J.-S. Han, On BP-algebras, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* **42**(5) (2013), 551 557, URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/86215.
- [4] S.-S. Ahn and S.-H. Kwon, Topological properties in BCC-algebras, *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society* **23**(2) (2008), 169 178, DOI: 10.4134/CKMS.2008.23.2.169.
- [5] M. Akram and K. H. Dar, On fuzzy d-Algebras, *Punjab University Journal of Mathematics* **37** (2005), 61 76, URL: https://pu.edu.pk/images/jour/akram7.pdf.
- [6] M. Annalakshmi and M. Chandramouleeswaran, Fuzzy topological subsystem on a TM-algebra, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **94**(3) (2014), 439 449, DOI: 10.12732/ijpam.v94i3.11.
- [7] W. A. Dudeck and Y. B. Jun, Fuzzification of ideals in BCC-algebras, *Glasnik Matematicki* **36**(56) (2001), 127 138, URL: https://web.math.pmf.unizg.hr/glasnik/36.1/36(1)-12.pdf.
- [8] W. A. Dudeck and X. Zhang, On ideals and congruences in BCC-algebras, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal* 48(123) (1998), 21 29, DOI: 10.1023/A:1022407325810.
- [9] W. A. Dudeck, Y. B. Jun and X. Zhang, On fuzzy topological BCC-algebras, *Discussiones Mathematicae General Algebra and Applications* **20**(1) (2000), 77 86, DOI: 10.7151/dmgaa.1007.
- [10] D. H. Foster, Fuzzy topological groups, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **67**(2) (1979), 549 564, DOI: 10.1016/0022-247X(79)90043-X.
- [11] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, On axiom system of propositional calculi. XIV, *Proceedings of the Japan Academy* 42 (1966), 19 22, URL: https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/pjab1945/42/1/42\_1\_19/\_pdf/-char/ja.
- [12] M. Jansi and V. Thiruveni, Complementary role of ideals in TSBF-algebras, Malaya Journal of Matematik 8(3) (2020), 1037 – 1040, URL: https://www.malayajournal.org/articles/MJM08030052. pdf.
- [13] D. S. Lee and D. N. Ryu, Notes on topological BCK-algebras, *Scientiae Mathematicae* 1(2) (1998), 231 235, URL: https://www.jams.jp/scm/contents/Vol-1-2/1-2-17.pdf.
- [14] K. Megalai and A. Tamilarasi, Fuzzy subalgebras and fuzzy T-ideals in TM-algebras, *Journal of Mathematics & Statistics* **7**(2) (2011), 107 111, DOI: 10.3844/jmssp.2011.107.111.
- [15] J. Meng, Y. B. Jun and H. S. Kim, Fuzzy implicative ideals of BCK-algebras, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **89**(2) (1997), 243 248, DOI: 10.1016/S0165-0114(96)00096-6.

