



Research Article

Hamacher Operations on Picture Fuzzy Matrices

M. Ramakrishnan^{ID} and S. Sriram*^{ID}

Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar 608002, Tamilnadu, India

*Corresponding author: mrkmaths85@gmail.com

Received: May 6, 2022

Accepted: November 4, 2022

Abstract. In this article, Hamacher operations of *Picture Fuzzy Matrices* are proposed, which are direct extensions of Hamacher operations of *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices*. By using these operations scalar multiplication and exponentiation operations are constructed for a picture fuzzy matrix and certain new relations are established.

Keywords. Fuzzy matrix, Intuitionistic fuzzy matrix, Picture fuzzy matrix, Hamacher sum and Hamacher product

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 03E72, 08A72, 15B15, 94D05

Copyright © 2023 M. Ramakrishnan and S. Sriram. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Picture Fuzzy Sets (PFSs) are direct extensions of the fuzzy sets and *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets* (IFSs) [1, 2]. Dogra and Pal [5] define *Picture Fuzzy Matrix* (PFM) generalizing the concept of *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrix* (IFM). A many works on the IFMs had been done by Murugadas *et al.* [8], Muthuraji *et al.* [9], Ramakrishnan and Sriram [10], and Silambarasan and Sriram [11]. Cuong and Kreinovich [4] proposed PFSs, Cuong [3] presented some properties of PFSs, Dutta and Ganju [6] discussed decomposition theorem for PFSs, Thomason [12] introduced the notion of fuzzy matrices, IFMs as a generalization of fuzzy matrices developed by Mondal and Pal [7] is used to represent the intuitionistic fuzzy relation. Motivated by the existing operations, we present Hamacher operations on PFMs and construct scalar multiplication and power operations with respect to these operations.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 ([1, 2]). An IFS E in X is given by $E = \{\langle x, \mu_E(x), \nu_E(x) / x \in X \rangle\}$, where $\mu_E(x) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu_E(x) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, with the condition $0 \leq \mu_E(x) + \nu_E(x) \leq 1$.

The numbers $\mu_E(x)$ and $\nu_E(x)$ represent, the membership degree and non-membership degree of the element to the set E .

Definition 2.2 ([4]). A picture fuzzy set E on a universe X is an object in the form of $E = \{(x, \mu_E(x), \eta_E(x), \nu_E(x)) / x \in X\}$ where $\mu_E(x) \in [0, 1]$ is called the degree of positive membership of x in E , $\eta_E(x) \in [0, 1]$ is called the degree of neutral membership of x in E and $\nu_E(x) \in [0, 1]$ is called the degree of negative membership of x in E and where $\mu_E(x)$, $\eta_E(x)$ and $\nu_E(x)$ satisfy the following condition: $(\forall x \in X) \mu_E(x) + \eta_E(x) + \nu_E(x) \leq 1$.

Now $(1 - (\mu_E(x) + \eta_E(x) + \nu_E(x)))$ could be called the degree of refusal membership of x in E . Let $\text{PFS}(X)$ denote the set of all the picture fuzzy sets on a universe X .

Definition 2.3 ([5]). A picture fuzzy matrices of size $m \times n$ is defined as $E = (\langle e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\nu} \rangle)$, where $e_{lm\mu} \in [0, 1]$, $e_{lm\eta} \in [0, 1]$, $e_{lm\nu} \in [0, 1]$ are respectively, the measure of positive, neutral and negative membership of e_{lm} for $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ and $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ satisfying $0 \leq e_{lm\mu} + e_{lm\eta} + e_{lm\nu} \leq 1$.

Definition 2.4 ([5]). Let $E = (\langle e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\nu} \rangle)$ and $F = (\langle f_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\nu} \rangle)$ be two Picture fuzzy matrices of order m . Then $E \leq F$. If $e_{lm\mu} \leq f_{lm\mu}$, $e_{lm\eta} \leq f_{lm\eta}$, $e_{lm\nu} \geq f_{lm\nu}$ for $l, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

3. Hamacher Operations on Picture Fuzzy Matrices

In this section, we define Hamacher operations on Picture fuzzy matrices and construct the expressions nE and E^n for a Picture fuzzy matrix E .

Definition 3.1. Let $E = (\langle e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\nu} \rangle)$ and $F = (\langle f_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\nu} \rangle)$ be two Picture fuzzy matrices of same size. Then,

$$(i) E \oplus_S F = \left[\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \right]$$

is called the Hamacher sum of E and F .

$$(ii) E \odot_M F = \left[\frac{e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - 2e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{1 - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - 2e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{1 - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \right]$$

is called the Hamacher Product of E and F .

$$(iii) E \vee F = [\langle \max(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}), \min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}), \min(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}) \rangle].$$

$$(iv) E \wedge F = [\langle \min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}), \min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}), \max(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}) \rangle].$$

$$(v) E^t = [\langle e_{ml\mu}, e_{ml\eta}, e_{ml\nu} \rangle]$$

$$(vi) E^C = [\langle e_{lm\nu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\mu} \rangle] \text{ (the complement of } E).$$

$$(vii) E @_K F = \left[\left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \right].$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $E = (\langle e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\nu} \rangle)$ and $F = (\langle f_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\nu} \rangle)$ be two PFM's of same size, then, $0 \leq (E \oplus_S F) \leq 1$ is also PFM.

Proof. Since, $0 \leq (\langle e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\nu} \rangle) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq (f_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\nu}) \leq 1$ respectively, $0 \leq (e_{lm\mu} + e_{lm\eta} + e_{lm\nu}) \leq 1$, then, $0 \leq e_{lm\mu} \leq (1 - e_{lm\nu})$ and $e_{lm\nu} \leq (1 - e_{lm\mu})$ and also, $e_{lm\eta} \leq (1 - e_{lm\mu})$, $0 \leq (f_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\nu}) \leq 1$, then, $0 \leq f_{lm\mu} \leq (1 - f_{lm\nu})$ and $f_{lm\nu} \leq (1 - f_{lm\mu})$ and also, $f_{lm\eta} \leq (1 - f_{lm\mu})$. Then, we have

$$\frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}} \leq \frac{(1 - e_{lm\mu})(1 - f_{lm\mu})}{1 + (1 - e_{lm\mu})(1 - f_{lm\mu})}$$

and

$$\frac{e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \leq \frac{(1 - e_{lm\mu})(1 - f_{lm\mu})}{1 + (1 - e_{lm\mu})(1 - f_{lm\mu})}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}} + \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}} + \frac{e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \leq 1$$

iff

$$E = (1, 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad F = (1, 0, 0).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}} + \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}} + \frac{e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} = 0$$

iff

$$E = (0, 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad F = (0, 0, 0).$$

Thus, the solution of

$$0 \leq \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}} + \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}} + \frac{e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \right) \leq 1. \quad \square$$

Similarly, we can prove the following theorems:

Theorem 3.2. Let $E = (\langle e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\nu} \rangle)$ and $F = (\langle f_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\nu} \rangle)$ be two PFM's of same size, then, $0 \leq (E \odot_M F) \leq 1$ is a PFM.

Theorem 3.3. If n is any positive integer and E is a PFM, then the scalar multiplication operation is defined by

$$nE = \underbrace{E \oplus_S E \oplus_S E \oplus_S \cdots \oplus_S E}_n = \left(\frac{ne_{lm\mu}}{1 + (n-1)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{n - (n-1)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{n - (n-1)e_{lm\nu}} \right).$$

Proof. Mathematical induction can be used to prove that the above equation holds. For all positive integer n . The above equation is called $P(n)$.

(i): The above equation $P(n)$ true for $n = 1$. Since,

$$\begin{aligned} nE &= \left(\frac{(1 + e_{lm\mu})^n - (1 - e_{lm\mu})^n}{(1 + e_{lm\mu})^n + (1 - e_{lm\mu})^n}, \frac{2(e_{lm\eta})^n}{(2 - e_{lm\eta})^n + (e_{lm\eta})^n}, \frac{2(e_{lm\nu})^n}{(2 - e_{lm\nu})^n + (e_{lm\nu})^n} \right) \\ &= (\langle e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\nu} \rangle) = E. \end{aligned}$$

Then $P(n)$ is true for $n = 1$, i.e., $P(1)$ holds.

(ii): When $n = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \oplus_S E = 2E &= \left(\frac{2e_{lm\mu}(1 - e_{lm\mu})}{(1 - e_{lm\mu}e_{lm\mu})}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}e_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta}(2 - e_{lm\eta})}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}e_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu}(2 - e_{lm\nu})} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2e_{lm\mu}}{1 + (2 - 1)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{2 - (2 - 1)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{2 - (2 - 1)e_{lm\nu}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$3E = \left(\frac{3e_{lm\mu}}{1 + (3 - 1)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{3 - (3 - 1)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{3 - (3 - 1)e_{lm\nu}} \right).$$

In general,

$$nE = \left(\frac{ne_{lm\mu}}{1 + (n - 1)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{n - (n - 1)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{n - (n - 1)e_{lm\nu}} \right)$$

holds when $n = 2$,

(iii): When $n = m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} mE &= \underbrace{E \oplus_S E \oplus_S E \oplus_S \cdots \oplus_S E}_m = \left(\frac{me_{lm\mu}}{1 + (m - 1)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{m - (m - 1)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{m - (m - 1)e_{lm\nu}} \right), \\ (m+1)E &= mE \oplus_S E \\ &= \left(\frac{(m+1-m)e_{lm\mu} - e_{lm\mu}}{(1+m)e_{lm\mu} - e_{lm\mu} - m}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}e_{lm\eta}}{(1+m-m)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}e_{lm\nu}}{(1+m-m)e_{lm\nu}} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{(m+1)(1-e_{lm\mu})e_{lm\mu}}{(1+m)e_{lm\mu}(1-e_{lm\mu})}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{(1+m-m)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{(1+m-m)e_{lm\nu}} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{(m+1)e_{lm\mu}}{(1+m)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{(m+1-m)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{(m+1-m)e_{lm\nu}} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{(m+1)e_{lm\mu}}{(1+(m+1-1))e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{(m+1-(m+1-1))e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{(m+1-(m+1-1))e_{lm\nu}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, when $n = m + 1$,

$$nE = \underbrace{E \oplus_S E \oplus_S E \oplus_S \cdots \oplus_S E}_n = \left(\frac{n e_{lm\mu}}{1 + (n - 1)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{n - (n - 1)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{n - (n - 1)e_{lm\nu}} \right)$$

also holds. Using the induction hypothesis that $P(n)$ holds for any positive integer n . \square

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. If n is any positive integer and E is an PFM, then the exponentiation operation is defined by

$$E^n = \underbrace{E \odot_M E \odot_M E \odot_M \cdots \odot_M E}_n = \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu}}{n - (n - 1)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{n e_{lm\eta}}{1 + (n - 1)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{n e_{lm\nu}}{1 + (n - 1)e_{lm\nu}} \right).$$

We prove the result of E^n is also a PFM.

4. Results of Some Algebraic Properties for Hamacher Operations of Picture Fuzzy Matrices

In this chapter, we discuss some algebraic properties with some special operations for Hamacher operations of picture fuzzy matrices.

Theorem 4.1. Let $E = (\langle e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\nu} \rangle)$ and $F = (\langle f_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\nu} \rangle)$ be two PFM s of same size, then

- (i) $E \oplus_S F = F \oplus_S E$,
- (ii) $E \odot_M F = E \odot_M F$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. (i): } E \oplus_S F &= \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{f_{lm\mu} + e_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}e_{lm\mu}}{1 - f_{lm\mu}e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{f_{lm\eta}e_{lm\eta}}{f_{lm\eta} + e_{lm\eta} - f_{lm\eta}e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{f_{lm\nu}e_{lm\nu}}{f_{lm\nu} + e_{lm\nu} - f_{lm\nu}e_{lm\nu}} \right) \\ &= F \oplus_S E. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (i) holds.

(ii): It can be proved similarly. \square

Theorem 4.2. Let E and F be two PFM s of same size, then

- (i) $nE \oplus_S nF = n(E \oplus_S F)$,
- (ii) $n(E \oplus_S E) = n(2E) = 2nE = nE \oplus_S nE$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i): } nE \oplus_S nF &= \left(\frac{n e_{lm\mu}(1 + (n-1)f_{lm\mu}) + n f_{lm\mu}(1 + (n-1)e_{lm\mu}) - 2n e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{(1 + (n-1)f_{lm\mu})(1 + (n-1)e_{lm\mu}) - n e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}, \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta}(n - (n-1)f_{lm\eta}) + f_{lm\eta}(n - (n-1)e_{lm\eta}) - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}, \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu}(n - (n-1)f_{lm\nu}) + f_{lm\nu}(n - (n-1)e_{lm\nu}) - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \Big) \\ &= \left(\frac{n(e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu})}{(1 - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}) + (n-1)(e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu})}, \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{n e_{lm\eta} + n f_{lm\eta} - 2n e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta} + e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}, \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{n e_{lm\nu} + n f_{lm\nu} - 2n e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu} + e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \Big) \\ &= \left(\frac{n \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}} \right)}{1 + (n-1) \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}} \right)}, \right. \\ &\quad \frac{\left(\frac{1 - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}} \right)}{n - (n-1) \left(\frac{1 - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}} \right)}, \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{1 - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \right)}{n - (n-1) \left(\frac{1 - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \right)} \Big) = n(E \oplus_S F). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (i) holds.

(ii): It can be proved similarly. \square

Theorem 4.3. Let E be a PFM, then

- (i) $mE \oplus_S nE = (m+n)E$,
- (ii) $m(nE) = (mn)E$, where $m, n > 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i): } mE \oplus_S nE &= \left(\frac{m e_{lm\mu}(1 + (m-1)e_{lm\mu}) + n e_{lm\mu}(1 + (n-1)e_{lm\mu}) - 2mn e_{lm\mu} e_{lm\mu}}{(1 + (m-1)e_{lm\mu})(1 + (n-1)e_{lm\mu}) - mn e_{lm\mu} e_{lm\mu}}, \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \frac{e_{lm\eta} e_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta}(m - (m-1)e_{lm\eta}) + e_{lm\eta}(n - (n-1)e_{lm\eta}) - e_{lm\eta} e_{lm\eta}}, \\
 &\quad \cdot \left. \frac{e_{lm\nu} e_{lm\nu}}{e_{lm\nu}(m - (m-1)e_{lm\nu}) + e_{lm\nu}(n - (n-1)e_{lm\nu}) - e_{lm\nu} e_{lm\nu}} \right), \\
 &= \left(\frac{(1 - e_{lm\mu})(m e_{lm\mu} + n e_{lm\mu})}{(1 - e_{lm\mu})(1 - e_{lm\mu}) + (m e_{lm\mu} + n e_{lm\mu})(1 - e_{lm\mu})}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{(m+n) - (m+n-1)e_{lm\eta}}, \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \left. \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{(m+n) - (m+n-1)e_{lm\nu}} \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{(m+n) e_{lm\mu}}{(1 - e_{lm\mu}) + (m+n)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}}{(m+n) - (m+n-1)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu}}{(m+n) - (m+n-1)e_{lm\nu}} \right) \\
 &= (m+n)E.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (i) holds.

(ii): It can be proved similarly. \square

Theorem 4.4. Let E and F be two PFMs of same size, then

- (i) $E^m \odot_M E^n = E^{m+n}$, where $m, n > 0$.
- (ii) $E^n \odot_M F^n = (E \odot_M F)^n$, where $m, n > 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i): } E^m \odot_M E^n &= \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} e_{lm\mu}}{e_{lm\mu}(n - (n-1)e_{lm\mu}) + e_{lm\mu}(m - (m-1)e_{lm\mu}) - e_{lm\mu} e_{lm\mu}}, \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \frac{m e_{lm\eta}(1 + (n-1)e_{lm\eta}) + n e_{lm\eta}(1 + (m-1)e_{lm\eta}) - 2mn e_{lm\eta} e_{lm\eta}}{(1 + (m-1)e_{lm\eta})(1 + (n-1)e_{lm\eta}) - mn e_{lm\eta} e_{lm\eta}}, \\
 &\quad \cdot \left. \frac{m e_{lm\nu}(1 + (n-1)e_{lm\nu}) + n e_{lm\nu}(1 + (m-1)e_{lm\nu}) - 2mn e_{lm\nu} e_{lm\nu}}{(1 + (m-1)e_{lm\nu})(1 + (n-1)e_{lm\nu}) - mn e_{lm\nu} e_{lm\nu}} \right), \\
 &= \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} e_{lm\mu}}{e_{lm\mu}(m + n - m e_{lm\mu} - n e_{lm\mu} + e_{lm\mu})}, \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \frac{(1 - e_{lm\eta})(m e_{lm\eta} + n e_{lm\eta})}{(1 - e_{lm\eta})(1 - e_{lm\eta}) + (m e_{lm\eta} + n e_{lm\eta})(1 - e_{lm\eta})}, \\
 &\quad \cdot \left. \frac{(1 - e_{lm\nu})(m e_{lm\nu} + n e_{lm\nu})}{(1 - e_{lm\nu})(1 - e_{lm\nu}) + (m e_{lm\nu} + n e_{lm\nu})(1 - e_{lm\nu})} \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu}}{(m+n) - (m+n-1)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{(m e_{lm\eta} + n e_{lm\eta})}{(1 - e_{lm\eta}) + (m e_{lm\eta} + n e_{lm\eta})}, \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \frac{(m e_{lmv} + n e_{lmv})}{(1 - e_{lmv}) + (m e_{lmv} + n e_{lmv})} \Big) \\
& = \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu}}{(m+n) - ((m+n)-1)e_{lm\mu}}, \frac{(m+n)e_{lm\eta}}{1 + ((m+n)-1)e_{lm\eta}}, \frac{(m+n)e_{lmv}}{1 + ((m+n)-1)e_{lmv}} \right) \\
& = E^{m+n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (i) holds.

(ii): It can be proved similarly. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let E, F and G be three PFM's of same size, then

$$(i) (E \oplus_S F) \oplus_S G = E \oplus_S (F \oplus_S G),$$

$$(ii) (E \odot_M F) \odot_M G = E \odot_M (F \odot_M G).$$

Proof.

$$(i): (E \oplus_S F) \oplus_S G$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lmv}f_{lmv}}{e_{lmv} + f_{lmv} - e_{lmv}f_{lmv}} \right) \\
& \oplus_S (g_{lm\mu}, g_{lm\eta}, g_{lmv}) \\
& = \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu} + 3e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu} - e_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu} - f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu} + 2e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta} + e_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta} - 2e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{e_{lmv}f_{lmv}g_{lmv}}{e_{lmv}f_{lmv} + e_{lmv}g_{lmv} + f_{lmv}g_{lmv} - 2e_{lmv}f_{lmv}g_{lmv}} \right) \\
& = \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu}(1 - f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}) + f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}(f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu})}{1 - f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu} - e_{lm\mu}(f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu})}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta}(f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}) + f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{e_{lmv}f_{lmv}g_{lmv}}{e_{lmv}(f_{lmv} + g_{lmv} - f_{lmv}g_{lmv}) + f_{lmv}g_{lmv} - e_{lmv}f_{lmv}g_{lmv}} \right) \\
& = \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + \left(\frac{f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}{1 - f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}} \right) - 2e_{lm\mu}\left(\frac{f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}{1 - f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}} \right)}{1 - e_{lm\mu}\left(\frac{f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}{1 - f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}} \right)}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. e_{lm\eta}\left(\frac{f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}{f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. e_{lm\eta} + \left(\frac{f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}{f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}} \right) - e_{lm\eta}\left(\frac{f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}{f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}} \right), \right. \\
& \quad \left. e_{lmv}\left(\frac{f_{lmv}g_{lmv}}{f_{lmv} + g_{lmv} - f_{lmv}g_{lmv}} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. e_{lmv} + \left(\frac{f_{lmv}g_{lmv}}{f_{lmv} + g_{lmv} - f_{lmv}g_{lmv}} \right) - e_{lmv}\left(\frac{f_{lmv}g_{lmv}}{f_{lmv} + g_{lmv} - f_{lmv}g_{lmv}} \right) \right) \\
& = \left((e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lmv}) \oplus_S \left(\frac{f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}{1 - f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}, \frac{f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}{f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}, \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \frac{f_{lmv}g_{lmv}}{f_{lmv} + g_{lmv} - f_{lmv}g_{lmv}} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot \frac{f_{lmv}w_{lmv}}{f_{lmv}+w_{lmv}-f_{lmv}w_{lmv}} \Big) \Big) = E \oplus_S (F \oplus_S G).$$

Hence, (i) holds.

(ii): It can be proved similarly. \square

Theorem 4.6. Let E be a PFM. Then

- (i) $E \oplus_S J = J \oplus_S E = J$,
- (ii) $E \odot_M J = J \odot_M E = E$.

Proof. Let $J = (\langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle)$

$$E \oplus_S J = (e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lmv}) \oplus_S J = J = J \oplus_S E,$$

$$E \odot_M J = (e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lmv}) \odot_M J = E = J \odot_M E.$$

\square

Theorem 4.7. Let E be a PFM. Then

- (i) $J \oplus_S O = O \oplus_S J = J$,
- (ii) $J \odot_M O = O \odot_M J = O$.

Theorem 4.8. Let E, F and G be three PFMs of same size, then

- (i) $(E \wedge F) \oplus_S G = (E \oplus_S G) \wedge (F \oplus_S G)$,
- (ii) $(E \vee F) \oplus_S G = (E \oplus_S G) \vee (F \oplus_S G)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i): } (E \wedge F) \oplus_S G &= \left(\frac{\min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}) + g_{lm\mu} - 2\min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu})g_{lm\mu}}{1 - \min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu})g_{lm\mu}}, \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \frac{\min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta})g_{lm\eta}}{\min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta})g_{lm\eta}}, \\
 &\quad \cdot \frac{\min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}) + g_{lm\eta} - \min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta})g_{lm\eta}}{\max(e_{lmv}, f_{lmv})g_{lmv}}, \\
 &\quad \cdot \left. \frac{\max(e_{lmv}, f_{lmv}) + g_{lmv} - \max(e_{lmv}, f_{lmv})g_{lmv}}{\max(e_{lmv}, f_{lmv}) + g_{lmv} - \max(e_{lmv}, f_{lmv})g_{lmv}} \right), \\
 &= \left(\min \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}, \frac{f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}{1 - f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}} \right), \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \min \left(\frac{e_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}, \frac{f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}{f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}} \right), \\
 &\quad \cdot \left. \max \left(\frac{e_{lmv}g_{lmv}}{e_{lmv} + g_{lmv} - e_{lmv}g_{lmv}}, \frac{f_{lmv}g_{lmv}}{f_{lmv} + g_{lmv} - f_{lmv}g_{lmv}} \right) \right) \\
 &= \left(\left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2e_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}{1 - e_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lmv}g_{lmv}}{e_{lmv} + g_{lmv} - e_{lmv}g_{lmv}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \wedge \left(\frac{f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - 2f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}{1 - f_{lm\mu}g_{lm\mu}}, \frac{f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}{f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - f_{lm\eta}g_{lm\eta}}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \frac{f_{lmv}g_{lmv}}{f_{lmv} + g_{lmv} - f_{lmv}g_{lmv}} \right) \right) \\
 &= (E \oplus_S G) \wedge (F \oplus_S G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (i) holds.

(ii): It can be proved similarly. \square

Theorem 4.9. Let E, F and G be three PFMs of same size, then

$$(i) \quad (E \wedge F) \odot_M G = (E \odot_M G) \wedge (F \odot_M G),$$

$$(ii) \quad (E \vee F) \odot_M G = (E \odot_M G) \vee (F \odot_M G).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (i): \quad (E \wedge F) \odot_M G &= \left(\frac{\min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}) g_{lm\mu}}{\min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}) + g_{lm\mu} - \min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}) g_{lm\mu}}, \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}) + g_{lm\eta} - 2\min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}) g_{lm\eta}}{1 - \min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}) g_{lm\eta}}, \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \frac{\max(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}) + g_{lm\nu} - 2\max(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}) g_{lm\nu}}{1 - \max(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}) g_{lm\nu}} \right) \\ &= \left(\min \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} g_{lm\mu}}{e_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - e_{lm\mu} g_{lm\mu}}, \frac{f_{lm\mu} g_{lm\mu}}{f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - f_{lm\mu} g_{lm\mu}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \min \left(\frac{e_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - 2e_{lm\eta} g_{lm\eta}}{1 - e_{lm\eta} g_{lm\eta}}, \frac{f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - 2f_{lm\eta} g_{lm\eta}}{1 - f_{lm\eta} g_{lm\eta}} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \max \left(\frac{e_{lm\nu} + g_{lm\nu} - 2e_{lm\nu} g_{lm\nu}}{1 - e_{lm\nu} g_{lm\nu}}, \frac{f_{lm\nu} + g_{lm\nu} - 2f_{lm\nu} g_{lm\nu}}{1 - f_{lm\nu} g_{lm\nu}} \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} g_{lm\mu}}{e_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - e_{lm\mu} g_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - 2e_{lm\eta} g_{lm\eta}}{1 - e_{lm\eta} g_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu} + g_{lm\nu} - 2e_{lm\nu} g_{lm\nu}}{1 - e_{lm\nu} g_{lm\nu}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \wedge \left. \left(\frac{f_{lm\mu} g_{lm\mu}}{f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu} - f_{lm\mu} g_{lm\mu}}, \frac{f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta} - 2f_{lm\eta} g_{lm\eta}}{1 - f_{lm\eta} g_{lm\eta}}, \frac{f_{lm\nu} + g_{lm\nu} - 2f_{lm\nu} g_{lm\nu}}{1 - f_{lm\nu} g_{lm\nu}} \right) \right) \\ &= (E \odot_M G) \wedge (F \odot_M G). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (i) holds.

(ii): It can be proved similarly. \square

Theorem 4.10. Let E, F and G be three PFMs of same size, then

$$(i) \quad (E \wedge F) @_K G = (E @_K G) \wedge (F @_K G),$$

$$(ii) \quad (E \vee F) @_K G = (E @_K G) \vee (F @_K G).$$

Proof.

$$(i): \quad (E \wedge F) @_K G$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\left(\frac{\min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}) + g_{lm\mu}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{\min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}) + g_{lm\eta}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{\max(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}) + g_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\min \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu}}{2}, \frac{f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu}}{2} \right), \min \left(\frac{e_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta}}{2}, \frac{f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta}}{2} \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \max \left(\frac{e_{lm\nu} + g_{lm\nu}}{2}, \frac{f_{lm\nu} + g_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu}}{2}, \frac{e_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta}}{2}, \frac{e_{lm\nu} + g_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \wedge \left(\frac{f_{lm\mu} + g_{lm\mu}}{2}, \frac{f_{lm\eta} + g_{lm\eta}}{2}, \frac{f_{lm\nu} + g_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \right) \\ &= (E @_K G) \wedge (F @_K G). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (i) holds.

(ii): It can be proved similarly. \square

Theorem 4.11. Let E and F be an two PFM's of same size, then

- (i) $E @_K E = E$,
- (ii) $E @_K F = F @_K E$,
- (iii) $(E @_K F)^C = E^C @_K F^C$,
- (iv) $(E^C @_K F^C)^C = E @_K F$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)}: \quad E @_K E &= \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + e_{lm\mu}}{2}, \left(\frac{e_{lm\eta} + e_{lm\eta}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\nu} + e_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \right) \\
 &= (e_{lm\mu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\nu}) = E, \\
 \text{(ii)}: \quad E @_K F &= \left(\left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \right) \\
 &= \left(\left(\frac{f_{lm\mu} + e_{lm\mu}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{f_{lm\eta} + e_{lm\eta}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{f_{lm\nu} + e_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \right) \\
 &= F @_K E, \\
 \text{(iii)}: \quad (E @_K F)^C &= \left(\left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \right)^C \\
 &= \left(\left(\frac{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu}}{2} \right) \right) \\
 &= (e_{lm\nu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\mu}) @_K (f_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\mu}) \\
 &= E^C @_K F^C, \\
 \text{(iv)}: \quad (E^C @_K F^C)^C &= ((e_{lm\nu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\mu}) @_K (f_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\mu}))^C \\
 &= \left(\left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \right) \\
 &= E @_K F.
 \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 4.12. Let E and F be an two PFM's of same size, then

- (i) $(E^C \oplus_S F^C)^C \neq (E \odot_M F)$,
- (ii) $(E^C \odot_M F^C)^C \neq (E \oplus_S F)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)}: \quad (E^C \oplus_S F^C)^C &= ((e_{lm\nu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\mu}) \oplus_S (f_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\mu}))^C \\
 &= \left(\frac{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - 2e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{1 - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}} \right)^C \\
 &= \left(\frac{e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu} - e_{lm\mu}f_{lm\mu}}, \frac{e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta} - e_{lm\eta}f_{lm\eta}}, \frac{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu} - 2e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}}{1 - e_{lm\nu}f_{lm\nu}} \right) \\
 &\neq (E \odot_M F).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (i) holds.

(ii): It can be proved similarly. \square

Theorem 4.13. Let E and F be an two PFM's of same size, then

- (i) $(E \vee F)^C = ((E^C) \wedge (F^C))$,
- (ii) $(E \wedge F)^C = ((E^C) \vee (F^C))$,
- (iii) $((E^C) \vee (F^C))^C = (E \wedge F)$,
- (iv) $((E^C) \wedge (F^C))^C = (E \vee F)$,
- (v) $((E \vee F) @_K (E \wedge F)) = (E @_K F)$,
- (vi) $((E \wedge F) @_K (E \vee F)) = (F @_K E)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} : \quad (E \vee F)^C &= (\max(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}), \min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}), \min(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}))^C \\
 &= (\min(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}), \min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}), \max(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu})) \\
 &= ((e_{lm\nu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\mu}) \wedge (f_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\mu})) \\
 &= ((E^C) \wedge (F^C)), \\
 \text{(ii)} : \quad (E \wedge F)^C &= (\min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}), \min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}), \max(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}))^C \\
 &= (\max(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}), \min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}), \min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu})) \\
 &= ((e_{lm\nu}, e_{lm\eta}, e_{lm\mu}) \vee (f_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\mu})) \\
 &= ((E^C) \vee (F^C)), \\
 \text{(iii)} : \quad ((E^C) \vee (F^C))^C &= (\min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}), \min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}), \max(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu})) \\
 &= (E \wedge F), \\
 \text{(v)} : \quad ((E \vee F) @_K (E \wedge F)) &= \left(\left(\frac{\max(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu}) + \min(e_{lm\mu}, f_{lm\mu})}{2} \right), \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{\min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta}) + \min(e_{lm\eta}, f_{lm\eta})}{2} \right), \\
 &\quad \cdot \left. \left(\frac{\min(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu}) + \max(e_{lm\nu}, f_{lm\nu})}{2} \right) \right) \\
 &= \left(\left(\frac{e_{lm\mu} + f_{lm\mu}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\eta} + f_{lm\eta}}{2} \right), \left(\frac{e_{lm\nu} + f_{lm\nu}}{2} \right) \right) = (E @_K F).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (i), (ii), (iii), (v) holds.

(iv) and (vi): It can be proved similarly. \square

5. Conclusion

We have developed Hamacher operations of *Picture Fuzzy Matrices* including scalar multiplication and power operation, which provide a good complement to the existing operations on Hamacher operations of picture fuzzy matrices. The properties of these operations are investigated.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **20**(1) (1986), 87 – 96, DOI: 10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3.
- [2] K. T. Atanassov, More on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **33**(1) (1989), 37 – 45, DOI: 10.1016/0165-0114(89)90215-7.
- [3] B. C. Cuong, Picture fuzzy sets, *Journal of Computer Science and Cybernetics* **30**(4) (2014), 409 – 420, DOI: 10.15625/1813-9663/30/4/5032.
- [4] B. C. Cuong and V. Kreinovich, Picture Fuzzy Sets – a new concept for computational intelligence problems, Technical Report: UTEP-CS-13-66, in: *Proceedings of the Third World Congress on Information and Communication Technologies WICT’2013*, Hanoi, Vietnam, December 15–18, 2013, pp. 1 – 6, URL: https://scholarworks.utep.edu/cs_techrep/809.
- [5] S. Dogra and M. Pal, Picture fuzzy matrix and its application, *Soft Computing* **24** (2020), 9413 – 9428, DOI: 10.1007/s00500-020-05021-4.
- [6] P. Dutta and S. Ganju, Some aspects of picture fuzzy set, *Transactions of A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute* **172**(2) (2018), 164 – 175, DOI: 10.1016/j.trmi.2017.10.006.
- [7] S. Mondal and M. Pal, Similarity relations, invertibility and eigenvalues of intuitionistic fuzzy matrix, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering* **5**(4) (2013), 431 – 443, DOI: 10.1007/s12543-013-0156-y.
- [8] P. Murugadas, S. Sriram and T. Muthuraji, Modal operators in intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, *International Journal of Computer Applications* **90**(17) (2014), 1 – 4, DOI: 10.5120/15809-4535.
- [9] T. Muthuraji, S. Sriram and P. Murugadas, Decomposition of Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering* **8**(3) (2016), 345 – 354, DOI: 10.1016/j.fiae.2016.09.003.
- [10] M. Ramakrishnan and S. Sriram, Two new operations on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, *AIP Conference Proceedings* **2177** (2019), 020080, DOI: 10.1063/1.5135255.
- [11] I. Silambarasan and S. Sriram, Hamacher operations of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **16**(1) (2018), 81 – 90, DOI: 10.22457/apam.v16n1a10.
- [12] M. G. Thomason, Convergence of powers of a fuzzy matrix, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **57**(2) (1977), 476 – 480, DOI: 10.1016/0022-247X(77)90274-8.

