



# Fixed Point Theorem in $G$ -Metric Space for Auxiliary Functions

Preeti Bhardwaj\* and Manoj Kumar

Department of Mathematics, Baba Mastnath University, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Received: July 20, 2021

Accepted: October 29, 2021

**Abstract.** In this paper, fixed point results satisfying generalized contractive condition with new auxiliary functions are proved in  $G$ -metric spaces.

**Keywords.** Fixed point; Auxiliary functions;  $G$ -metric space

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020).** 47H10; 54H25

Copyright © 2021 Preeti Bhardwaj and Manoj Kumar. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

In 2006, Mustafa and Sims [9] introduced a new notion of generalized metric space  $(L, G)$  called  $G$ -metric space.

**Definition 1.1** ([9]). Let  $L$  be a nonempty set, and  $G : L^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $G(l, m, n) = 0$ , if  $l = m = n$ ,
- (2)  $0 < G(l, l, m)$ , for all  $l, m \in M$ , with  $l \neq m$ ,
- (3)  $G(l, l, m) \leq G(l, m, n)$ , for all  $l, m, n \in M$ , with  $n \neq m$ ,
- (4)  $G(l, m, n) = G(l, n, m) = G(m, n, l) = \dots$  (symmetry in all three variables),
- (5)  $G(l, m, n) \leq G(l, a, a) + G(a, m, n)$ , for all  $l, m, n, a \in M$ , (rectangular inequality).

\*Corresponding author: [manojantil18@gmail.com](mailto:manojantil18@gmail.com)

Then the function  $G$  is called a generalized metric, or, more specifically, a  $G$ -metric on  $L$ . The pair  $(L, G)$  is called a  $G$ -metric space.

**Definition 1.2** ([7]). For a  $G$ -metric space  $(L, G)$ , a mapping  $T : L \rightarrow L$  is called a contraction mapping on  $L$  if for any real number  $\lambda$  with  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , the following inequality holds:

$$G(Tl, Tm, Tn) \leq \lambda G(l, m, n), \quad \text{for all } l, m, n \in L.$$

**Remark 1.3.** It can be easily seen that the geographical distance between the images of any three points of a given set is contracting by a uniform factor  $\lambda < 1$ .

**Example 1.4.** Let  $L = \mathbb{R}^3$  be a set equipped with standard  $G$ -metric  $G$  (i.e.  $G(l, m, n) = |l_1 - l_2| + |l_2 - l_3| + |m_1 - m_2| + |m_2 - m_3| + |n_1 - n_2| + |n_2 - n_3|$  for all  $l, m, n \in L$ ) and  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be the mapping defined as  $Tl = \frac{5}{8}l$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $T$  is a contraction on  $L$  as  $G(l, m, n) = \frac{5}{8}\{|l_1 - m_1 - n_1| + |l_2 - m_2 - n_2| + |l_3 - m_3 - n_3|\} = \frac{5}{8}G(l, m, n)$ .

**Theorem 1.5** ([9]). Let  $(L, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and  $T$  be the contraction mapping defined on  $L$ . Then  $T$  possesses a unique fixed point  $l$  in  $L$ , i.e.,  $Tl = l$ .

**Theorem 1.6** ([8]). Let  $(L, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and  $T$  be the self mapping defined on  $L$  which satisfy the condition

$$G(Tl, Tm, Tn) \leq \alpha G(l, Tl, Tl) + \beta G(m, Tm, Tm) + \gamma G(n, Tn, Tn) + \delta G(l, m, n)$$

for all  $l, m, n \in L$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  non-negative with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$ . Then  $T$  admits a unique fixed point in  $L$ .

**Definition 1.7** ([1]). Let  $\Psi$  be the family of all functions  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \psi^p(t) < +\infty$  for every  $t > 0$ , where  $\psi^p$  is the  $p$ th iterate of  $\psi$ ;
- (2)  $\psi$  is nondecreasing.

**Definition 1.8** ([2]). A mapping  $B : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  is called  $C$ -class function if it is continuous and satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $B(x, y) \leq x$  for all  $x, y \in [0, \infty)$ ;
- (2)  $B(x, y) = x$  implies that either  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ ;

Let us consider:

$\Phi_1 = \{\phi_1 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous and non-decreasing function such that  $\phi_1(m) = 0$  if and only if  $m = 0\}$ ,

$\Phi_2 = \{\phi_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous function such that  $\phi_2(0) = 0$  and  $\phi_2(m) > 0$  for  $m > 0\}$ ,

$\Phi_3 = \left\{ \phi_3 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is a Lebesgue-integrable function, summable on each compact subset of } R^+, \text{ non-negative, and such that for each } \epsilon > 0, \int_0^\epsilon \phi(t)dt > 0 \right\}$ .

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(L, G)$  be a G-metric space and  $h$  be a self map on  $L$  be a mapping satisfying

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(hx, hy, hz)} \phi(t)dt \right) \leq B \left( \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{N(x, y, z)} \phi(t)dt \right), \phi_2 \left( \int_0^{N(x, y, z)} \phi(t)dt \right) \right), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $B$  is a C-class function  $\phi_1 \in \Phi_1, \phi_2 \in \Phi_2, \phi \in \Phi_3$  and

$$N(x, y, z) = \max\{G(x, y, z), G(x, hx, hx), G(y, hy, hy), G(z, hz, hz)\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then  $h$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x_0 \in L$ . Choose a point  $x_1 \in L$  such that  $x_1 = hx_0$ .

In general, choose  $x_{n+1}$  such that  $x_{n+1} = hx_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Suppose that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for each integer  $n > 1$ , then from (2.1)

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t)dt \right) \leq B \left( \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{N(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)} \phi(t)dt \right), \phi_2 \left( \int_0^{N(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)} \phi(t)dt \right) \right), \tag{2.3}$$

where from (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} N(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) &= \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_{n-1}, hx_{n-1}, hx_{n-1}), G(x_n, hx_n, hx_n), G(x_n, hx_n, hx_n)\} \\ &= \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

If  $\max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\} = G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})$ .

From (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t)dt \right) \leq B \left\{ \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t)dt \right), \phi_2 \left( \int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t)dt \right) \right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Thus by definition of  $B \in C$ , we get

either

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t)dt \right) = 0$$

or

$$\phi_2 \left( \int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t)dt \right) = 0.$$

From definition of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  it is possible only if

$$\int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t)dt = 0.$$

This is a contraction to our hypothesis.

Thus  $N(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) = G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)$ , this implies

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t)dt \right) \leq B \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)} \phi(t)dt \right), \phi_2 \left( \int_0^{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)} \phi(t)dt \right)$$

$$\leq \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)} \phi(t) dt \right).$$

Since  $\phi_1$  is continuous and non-decreasing, therefore

$$\int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)} \phi(t) dt,$$

thus  $\left\{ \int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt \right\}$  is monotone decreasing and lower bounded sequence.

Therefore, there exist  $\hat{r} \geq 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt = \hat{r}. \tag{2.6}$$

Suppose that  $\hat{r} > 0$ . Taking  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  on both sides of equation (2.5) and using (2.6), we get

$$\phi_1(\hat{r}) \leq B(\phi_1(\hat{r}), \phi_2(\hat{r})),$$

implies from definition of  $B \in C$  that

either

$$\phi_1(\hat{r}) = 0$$

or

$$\phi_2(\hat{r}) = 0.$$

From definition of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ , we get  $\hat{r} = 0$ .

Hence from equation (2.6), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Now, we will prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Let, if possible, it is not.

Therefore, for an  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists two subsequences  $\{x_{m(p)}\}$  and  $\{x_{n(p)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  with  $m(p) < n(p) < m(p + 1)$  such that

$$G(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)}, x_{n(p)}) \geq \epsilon, \quad G(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}) < \epsilon. \tag{2.9}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 \left( \int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt \right) &\leq \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)}, x_{n(p)})} \phi(t) dt \right) \\ &\leq B \left\{ \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{N(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1})} \phi(t) dt \right), \phi_2 \left( \int_0^{N(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)}, x_{n(p)})} \phi(t) dt \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Using (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &N(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}) \\ &= \max\{G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}), G(x_{m(p)-1}, hx_{m(p)-1}, hx_{m(p)-1}), \\ &\quad G(x_{n(p)-1}, hx_{n(p)-1}, hx_{n(p)-1}), G(x_{n(p)-1}, hx_{n(p)-1}, hx_{n(p)-1})\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \max\{G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}), G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{m(p)}, x_{m(p)}), G(x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)}, x_{n(p)})\}. \tag{2.11}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{N(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1})} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\max\{G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}), G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{m(p)}, x_{m(p)}), G(x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)}, x_{n(p)})\}} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \max \left\{ \int_0^{G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{m(p)}, x_{m(p)})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{G(x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)}, x_{n(p)})} \phi(t) dt \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Using (2.9) and triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}) &\leq G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{m(p)}, x_{m(p)}) + G(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}) \\ &< G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{m(p)}, x_{m(p)}) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{G(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1})} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt. \tag{2.13}$$

Taking  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty}$  on both sides of (2.10) and using (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), we get

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt \right) \leq B \left( \phi_1 \left( \int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt \right), \phi_2 \left( \int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt \right) \right).$$

Again from definition of  $B \in C$ , we get

either

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt \right) = 0$$

or

$$\phi_2 \left( \int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt \right) = 0.$$

It is possible only if  $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt = 0$ .

This is a contraction to our hypothesis, therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence,  $\xi$  be the limit such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h x_{n-1} = \xi. \tag{2.14}$$

Next, we prove that  $\xi$  is the fixed point of map  $h$ .

That is  $h\xi = \xi$ , suppose it is not.

Then  $G(h\xi, \xi, \xi) > 0$ .

Let  $\sigma = G(h\xi, \xi, \xi)$ .

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 \left( \int_0^\sigma \phi(t) dt \right) &= \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(h\xi, \xi, \xi)} \phi(t) dt \right) \\ &\leq B \left\{ \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{N(\xi, x_n, x_n)} \phi(t) dt \right), \phi_2 \left( \int_0^{N(\xi, x_n, x_n)} \phi(t) dt \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$N(\xi, x_n, x_n) = \max\{G(\xi, x_n, x_n), G(\xi, h\xi, h\xi), G(x_n, hx_n, hx_n), G(x_n, hx_n, hx_n)\}. \quad (2.16)$$

Since,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(\xi, x_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Taking  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  in (2.15) and by using (2.14), (2.16), (2.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 \left( \int_0^\sigma \phi(t) dt \right) &\leq B \left\{ \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{\max\{G(\xi, h\xi, h\xi)\}} \phi(t) dt \right), \phi_2 \left( \int_0^{\max\{G(\xi, h\xi, h\xi)\}} \phi(t) dt \right) \right\} \\ &\leq B \left\{ \phi_1 \left( \int_0^\sigma \phi(t) dt \right), \phi_2 \left( \int_0^\sigma \phi(t) dt \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Thus, we obtain

either

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^\sigma \phi(t) dt \right) = 0$$

or

$$\phi_2 \left( \int_0^\sigma \phi(t) dt \right) = 0$$

that is

$$\int_0^\sigma \phi(t) dt = 0.$$

Hence  $\sigma = 0$  which implies that  $P(h\xi, \xi, \xi) = 0$ .

Therefore  $\xi$  is the fixed point of map  $h$ . □

### 3. Applications

For the application purpose some important corollaries have been derived from our main result. If we put  $\phi(t) = t$  in Theorem 2.1, we get a new result.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $(L, G)$  be a complete G-metric space and  $h$  be a self map on  $L$ , such that for each  $x, y, z \in L$ ,*

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(hx, hy, hz)} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq B \left( \left( \int_0^{N(x, y, z)} \phi(t) dt \right), \phi_2 \left( \int_0^{N(x, y, z)} \phi(t) dt \right) \right),$$

where  $N(x, y, z)$  is given in (2.2),  $B$  is a C-class function,  $\phi_2 \in \Phi_2$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi_3$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(L, G)$  be a complete G-metric space and  $h$  be a self map on  $L$ , such that for each  $x, y, z \in L$ ,*

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(hx, hy, hz)} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq \lambda \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{N(x, y, z)} \phi(t) dt \right), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $N(x, y, z)$  is given in (2.2),  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\phi_1 \in \Phi_1$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi_3$ .

Then  $h$  has a unique fixed point.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $(L, G)$  be a complete G-metric space and  $h$  be a self map on  $L$ , such that for each  $x, y, z \in L$ ,

$$\phi_1 \left( \int_0^{G(hx, hy, hz)} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq \phi_1 \left( \int_0^{N(x, y, z)} \phi(t) dt \right) - \phi_2 \left( \int_0^{N(x, y, z)} \phi(t) dt \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $N(x, y, z)$  is given in (2.2),  $\phi_1 \in \Phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2 \in \Phi_2$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi_3$ .

Then  $h$  has a unique fixed point.

## 4. Conclusion

With the aid of new auxiliary functions, some fixed point results are proved for generalized contractive conditions in the setting of G-metric spaces.

### Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- [1] M. A. Alghamdi and E. Karapinar,  $G$ - $\beta$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* **2013** (2013), Article number: 70, DOI: 10.1186/1029-242X-2013-70.
- [2] A. H. Ansari, Note on  $\phi$ - $\psi$  contractive type mappings and related fixed point, *Proceedings of the 2nd Regional Conference on Mathematics and Applications*, Payame Noor University, Iran, 2014, pp. 377 – 380.
- [3] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* **29** (2002), Article ID 641824, 531 – 536, DOI: 10.1155/S0161171202007524.
- [4] B. C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society* **84** (1982), 329 – 336.
- [5] B. C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and topological structure I, *Analele Științifice Ale Universității "Al.I.Cuza" Iași* **46**(1) (2000), 3 – 24, URL: [https://www.math.uaic.ro/~annalsmath/pdf-uri%20anale/F1\(2000\)/Dhage-1.pdf](https://www.math.uaic.ro/~annalsmath/pdf-uri%20anale/F1(2000)/Dhage-1.pdf).
- [6] G. Jungck, Common fixed points for non-continuous non-self mappings on non-metric spaces, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **4**(1996), 199 – 212.
- [7] Z. Mustafa, *A New Structure for Generalized Metric Spaces With Applications to Fixed Point Theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Newcastle, Australia (2005).
- [8] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorems for mappings on complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* **2008**(2008), Article ID 189870, 10 pages, DOI: 10.1155/2008/189870.

- [9] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis* **7**(2) (2006), 289 – 297, URL: [https://carma.newcastle.edu.au/brailey/Research\\_papers/A%20new%20Approach%20to%20Generalized%20Metric%20Spaces.pdf](https://carma.newcastle.edu.au/brailey/Research_papers/A%20new%20Approach%20to%20Generalized%20Metric%20Spaces.pdf).
- [10] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Some remarks concerning  $D$ -metric spaces, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Valencia, Spain, July 2003, pp. 189 – 198, URL: [https://carma.edu.au/brailey/Research\\_papers/Some%20Remarks%20Concerning%20D%20-%20Metric%20Spaces.pdf](https://carma.edu.au/brailey/Research_papers/Some%20Remarks%20Concerning%20D%20-%20Metric%20Spaces.pdf).
- [11] W. Shatanawi, Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying  $\varphi$ -maps in  $G$ -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* **2010**(2010), Article ID 181670, 9 pages, DOI: 10.1155/2010/181650.
- [12] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Common fixed point theorem for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric space, *Journal of Applied Mathematics* **2011**(2011), Article ID 637958, 14 pages, DOI: 10.1155/2011/637958.

