



Some Special Families of Holomorphic and Sălăgean Type Bi-univalent Functions Associated with (m,n) -Lucas Polynomials

S. R. Swamy^{*1} , Abbas Kareem Wanas²  and Y. Sailaja³ 

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, R.V. College of Engineering, Bengaluru 560059, Karnataka, India

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq

³Department of Mathematics, R.V. College of Engineering, Bengaluru 560059, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding author: mailtoswamy@rediffmail.com

Abstract. The aim of the present paper is to introduce some special families of holomorphic and Sălăgean type bi-univalent functions associated with (m,n) -Lucas polynomials in the open unit disc \mathcal{D} . We investigate the upper bounds on initial coefficients for functions in these newly introduced special families and also discuss the Fekete-Szegő problem. Some interesting consequences of the results established here are indicated.

Keywords. Holomorphic function; Bi-univalent function; Fekete-Szegő inequality; Lucas polynomial; Sălăgean operator

MSC. Primary 11B39, 30C45, 33C45; Secondary 30C50, 33C05

Received: May 15, 2020

Accepted: July 13, 2020

Copyright © 2020 S. R. Swamy, Abbas Kareem Wanas and Y. Sailaja. *This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{N} be the set of natural numbers, \mathcal{R} be the set of real numbers and \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers. Let \mathcal{A} be the family of normalized functions that have the form

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} d_j z^j, \quad (1.1)$$

which are holomorphic in $\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and let \mathcal{S} be the collection of all members of \mathcal{A} that are univalent in \mathcal{D} . It is well-known (see [5]) that every function $g \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse g^{-1} satisfying $z = g^{-1}(g(z))$, $z \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\omega = g(g^{-1}(\omega))$, $|\omega| < r_0(g)$, $r_0(g) \geq 1/4$, where

$$g^{-1}(\omega) = f(\omega) = \omega - d_2\omega^2 + (2d_2^2 - d_3)\omega^3 - (5d_2^3 - 5d_2d_3 + d_4)\omega^4 + \dots \tag{1.2}$$

A member g of \mathcal{A} is said to be bi-univalent in \mathcal{D} if both g and g^{-1} are univalent in \mathcal{D} . We denote the family of bi-univalent functions that have the form (1.1), by Σ . For detailed study and various subfamilies of the family Σ , one can refer the works of [2], [4], [8], [11] and [14].

We recall the principle of subordination between two holomorphic functions $g(z)$ and $f(z)$ in \mathcal{D} . It is known that $g(z)$ is subordinate to $f(z)$, written as $g(z) < f(z)$, $z \in \mathcal{D}$, if there is a $\psi(z)$ holomorphic in \mathcal{D} , with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $|\psi(z)| < 1$, $z \in \mathcal{D}$, such that $g(z) = f(\psi(z))$. Moreover, $g(z) < f(z)$ is equivalent to $g(0) = f(0)$ and $g(\mathcal{D}) \subset f(\mathcal{D})$, if f is univalent in \mathcal{D} .

Let $m(x)$ and $n(x)$ be polynomials with real coefficients. The (m, n) -Lucas polynomials $L_j(m(x), n(x), x)$ or briefly $L_j(x)$ are given by the following recurrence relation (see [10]):

$$L_j(x) = m(x)L_{j-1}(x) + n(x)L_{j-2}(x), \quad L_0(x) = 2, \quad L_1(x) = m(x), \tag{1.3}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$. It is clear from (1.3) that $L_2(x) = m^2(x) + 2n(x)$, $L_3(x) = m^3(x) + 3m(x)n(x)$. The generating function of the (m, n) -Lucas polynomial sequence $L_j(x)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{G}(x, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} L_j(x)z^j = \frac{2 - m(x)z}{1 - m(x)z - n(x)z^2}. \tag{1.4}$$

Note that for particular choices of $m(x)$ and $n(x)$, the (m, n) -Lucas polynomial $L_j(x)$ leads to various polynomials, among those we list following few here (see, for more details [3]):

- (i) $L_j(x, 1, x) = \mathcal{L}_j(x)$, the Lucas polynomials, (ii) $L_j(2x, 1, x) = P_j(x)$, the Pell-Lucas polynomials,
- (iii) $L_j(1, 2x, x) = J_j(x)$, the Jacobsthal polynomials, (iv) $L_j(3x, -2, x) = F_j(x)$, the Fermat-Lucas polynomials,
- (v) $L_j(2x, -1, x) = T_j(x)$, the first kind Chebyshev polynomials.

In literature, the coefficient estimates and celebrated Fekete-Szegő inequality are found for bi-univalent functions associated with certain polynomials like the Chebyshev polynomials, the (m, n) -Lucas polynomials. We also note that the above polynomials and other special polynomials are potentially important in the mathematical, physical, statistical and engineering sciences. More details associated with these polynomials can be found in [1], [7], [9], [12] and [16].

For $g \in \mathcal{A}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, Sălăgean differential operator [13] $D^k : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, is defined by

$$D^0 g(z) = g(z), D^1 g(z) = zg'(z), \dots, D^k g(z) = D(D^{k-1}g(z)), \quad z \in \mathcal{D}.$$

It is easy to see that if $g \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} d_j z^j$, then $D^k g(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^k d_j z^j$, $z \in \mathcal{D}$.

Inspired by recent trends on bi-univalent functions and motivated by the paper [15], we define the following special families of Σ by making use of the (m, n) -Lucas polynomials, which are given by the recurrence relation (1.3) and the generating function (1.4).

Definition 1.1. A function $g(z)$ in Σ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the family $\mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma}(x, \gamma, \mu, k)$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$, $\mu \geq \gamma$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, if

$$\frac{z(D^k g(z))' + \mu z^2(D^k g(z))''}{(1 - \gamma)D^k g(z) + \gamma z(D^k g(z))'} < \mathcal{G}(x, z) - 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega(D^k f(\omega))' + \mu\omega^2(D^k f(\omega))''}{(1-\gamma)D^k f(\omega) + \gamma\omega(D^k f(\omega))'} < \mathcal{G}(x, \omega) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathcal{G} is as in (1.4).

Definition 1.2. A function $g(z)$ in Σ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the family $\mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(x, \gamma, \mu, k)$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$, $\mu \geq \gamma$ and $k \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{0\}$, if

$$\frac{z(D^k g(z))' + \mu z^2(D^k g(z))''}{(1-\gamma)z + \gamma z(D^k g(z))'} < \mathcal{G}(x, z) - 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega(D^k f(\omega))' + \mu\omega^2(D^k f(\omega))''}{(1-\gamma)\omega + \gamma\omega(D^k f(\omega))'} < \mathcal{G}(x, \omega) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathcal{G} is as in (1.4).

Definition 1.3. A function $g(z)$ in Σ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the family $\mathfrak{B}_\Sigma(x, \xi, \tau, k)$, $\xi \geq 1$, $\tau \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{0\}$, if

$$\frac{(1-\xi) + \xi[(z(D^k g(z))')^\tau]}{(D^k g(z))'} < \mathcal{G}(x, z) - 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$\frac{(1-\xi) + \xi[(\omega(D^k f(\omega))')^\tau]}{(D^k f(\omega))'} < \mathcal{G}(x, \omega) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathcal{G} is as in (1.4).

For functions belonging to these newly defined families $\mathfrak{S}_\Sigma(x, \gamma, \mu, k)$, $\mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(x, \gamma, \mu, k)$ and $\mathfrak{B}_\Sigma(x, \xi, \tau, k)$, we derive the estimates for the coefficients $|d_2|$ and $|d_3|$ and also, we consider the celebrated Fekete-Szegő problem [6] in Section 2.

2. Coefficient Estimates and Fekete-Szegő Inequality

Theorem 2.1. Let $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$, $\mu \geq \gamma$, $k \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} d_j z^j$ be in the family $\mathfrak{S}_\Sigma(x, \gamma, \mu, k)$. Then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{2|\mu(2\mu - \gamma)m^2(x) + (1 - \gamma + 2\mu)^2 n(x)|}}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$|d_3| \leq \frac{1}{3^k} \left[\frac{m^2(x)}{(1 - \gamma + 2\mu)^2} + \frac{|m(x)|}{2(1 - \gamma + 3\mu)} \right] \tag{2.2}$$

and for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{2(3^k)(1 - \gamma + 3\mu)} ; & \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right| \leq J \\ \frac{|m(x)|^3 \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right|}{2(3^k)|\mu(2\mu - \gamma)m^2(x) + (1 - \gamma + 2\mu)^2 n(x)|} ; & \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right| \geq J, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$J = \frac{1}{(1-\gamma+3\mu)} \left| \mu(2\mu-\gamma) + (1-\gamma+2\mu)^2 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right|. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Let $g(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_\Sigma(x, \gamma, \mu, k)$. Then, for two holomorphic functions r and s such that $r(0) = s(0) = 0$, $|r(z)| < 1$ and $|s(\omega)| < 1$, $z, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$, and using Definition 1.1, we can write

$$\frac{z(D^k g(z))' + \mu z^2(D^k g(z))''}{(1-\gamma)D^k g(z) + \gamma z(D^k g(z))'} = \mathfrak{G}(x, r(z)) - 1$$

and

$$\frac{\omega(D^k f(\omega))' + \mu \omega^2(D^k f(\omega))''}{(1-\gamma)D^k f(\omega) + \gamma \omega(D^k f(\omega))'} = \mathfrak{G}(x, s(\omega)) - 1$$

or, equivalently

$$\frac{z(D^k g(z))' + \mu z^2(D^k g(z))''}{(1-\gamma)D^k g(z) + \gamma z(D^k g(z))'} = -1 + L_0(x) + L_1(x)r(z) + L_2(x)r^2(z) + \dots \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega(D^k f(\omega))' + \mu \omega^2(D^k f(\omega))''}{(1-\gamma)D^k f(\omega) + \gamma \omega(D^k f(\omega))'} = -1 + L_0(x) + L_1(x)s(\omega) + L_2(x)s^2(\omega) + \dots \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), in view of (1.3), we obtain

$$\frac{z(D^k g(z))' + \mu z^2(D^k g(z))''}{(1-\gamma)D^k g(z) + \gamma z(D^k g(z))'} = 1 + L_1(x)r_1 z + [L_1(x)r_2 + L_2(x)r_1^2]z^2 + \dots \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega(D^k f(\omega))' + \mu \omega^2(D^k f(\omega))''}{(1-\gamma)D^k f(\omega) + \gamma \omega(D^k f(\omega))'} = 1 + L_1(x)s_1 \omega + [L_1(x)s_2 + L_2(x)s_1^2]\omega^2 + \dots \tag{2.8}$$

It is well known that if $|r(z)| = |r_1 z + r_2 z^2 + r_3 z^3 + \dots| < 1$, $z \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $|s(\omega)| = |s_1 \omega + s_2 \omega^2 + s_3 \omega^3 + \dots| < 1$, $\omega \in \mathfrak{D}$, then

$$|r_i| \leq 1 \text{ and } |s_i| \leq 1 \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{2.9}$$

Comparing the corresponding coefficients in (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$2^k(1-\gamma+2\mu)d_2 = L_1(x)r_1, \tag{2.10}$$

$$2(3^k)(1-\gamma+3\mu)d_3 - 2^{2k}(1+\gamma)(1-\gamma+2\mu)d_2^2 = L_1(x)r_2 + L_2(x)r_1^2, \tag{2.11}$$

$$-2^k(1-\gamma+2\mu)d_2 = L_1(x)s_1, \tag{2.12}$$

$$-2(3^k)(1-\gamma+3\mu)d_3 + 2^{2k}(\gamma^2 - (4+2\mu)\gamma + 3 + 10\mu)d_2^2 = L_1(x)s_2 + L_2(x)s_1^2. \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.10) and (2.12), we can easily see that

$$r_1 = -s_1 \tag{2.14}$$

and also

$$2^{2k+1}(1-\gamma+2\mu)d_2^2 = (r_1^2 + s_1^2)(L_1(x))^2. \tag{2.15}$$

If we add (2.11) and (2.13), then we obtain

$$2^{2k+1}((1-\gamma)(1-\gamma+2\mu) + 2\mu)d_2^2 = L_1(x)(r_2 + s_2) + L_2(x)(r_1^2 + s_1^2). \tag{2.16}$$

Substituting the value of $(r_1^2 + s_1^2)$ from (2.15) in (2.16), we get

$$d_2^2 = \frac{(L_1(x))^3(r_2 + s_2)}{2^{2k+1}[(1-\gamma)(1-\gamma+2\mu)+2\mu(L_1(x))^2 - (1-\gamma+2\mu)^2L_2(x)]}, \tag{2.17}$$

which yields (2.1), on using (2.9).

Using (2.14) in the subtraction of (2.13) from (2.11), we obtain

$$d_3 = \frac{2^{2k}}{3^k}d_2^2 + \frac{L_1(x)(r_2 - s_2)}{4(3^k)(1-\gamma+3\mu)}. \tag{2.18}$$

Then in view of (2.15), (2.18) becomes

$$d_3 = \frac{(L_1(x))^2(r_1^2 + s_1^2)}{2(3^k)(1-\gamma+2\mu)^2} + \frac{L_1(x)(r_2 - s_2)}{4(3^k)(1-\gamma+3\mu)},$$

which yields (2.2), on using (2.9).

From (2.17) and (2.18), for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$, we get

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| = |m(x)| \left| \left(T(\delta, x) + \frac{1}{4(3^k)(1-\gamma+3\mu)} \right) r_2 + \left(T(\delta, x) - \frac{1}{4(3^k)(1-\gamma+3\mu)} \right) s_2 \right|,$$

where

$$T(\delta, x) = \frac{\left(\frac{2^{2k}}{3^k} - \delta\right) m^2(x)}{2^{2k+2}[\mu(\gamma - 2\mu)m^2(x) + (1-\gamma+2\mu)^2n(x)]}.$$

In view of (1.3), we conclude that

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{2(3^k)(1-\gamma+3\mu)} ; & 0 \leq |T(\delta, x)| \leq \frac{1}{4(3^k)(1-\gamma+3\mu)} \\ 2|m(x)||T(\delta, x)| ; & |T(\delta, x)| \geq \frac{1}{4(3^k)(1-\gamma+3\mu)}, \end{cases}$$

which yields (2.3) with J as in (2.4). This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Remark 1. The results obtained in Theorem 2.1 coincide with Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 of [3], for $k = 0$ and $\mu = \gamma$, ($0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$).

Remark 2. The results of Theorem 2.1 reduce to Corollary 1 and Corollary 3 of [3], when $k = \mu = \gamma = 0$.

Remark 3. Corollary 2 and Corollary 4 of [3] can be obtained from Theorem 2.1, by putting $k = 0$ and $\mu = \gamma = 1$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$, $\mu \geq \gamma$, $k \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} d_j z^j$ be in the family $\mathfrak{M}_{\Sigma}(x, \gamma, \mu, k)$. Then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{|(4\mu(\mu-\gamma) + (1-\gamma+2\mu))m^2(x) + 8(1-\gamma+\mu)^2n(x)|}}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$|d_3| \leq \frac{1}{3^k} \left[\frac{m^2(x)}{4(1-\gamma+\mu)^2} + \frac{|m(x)|}{3(1-\gamma+2\mu)} \right] \tag{2.20}$$

and for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{3^{k+1}(1-\gamma+2\mu)} ; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \leq M \\ \frac{|m(x)|^3 \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right|}{3^k |(4\mu(\mu-\gamma) + (1-\gamma+2\mu))m^2(x) + 8(1-\gamma+\mu)^2 n(x)|} ; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \geq M, \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$M = \frac{1}{3(1-\gamma+2\mu)} \left| (4\mu(\mu-\gamma) + (1-\gamma+2\mu)) + 8(1-\gamma+\mu)^2 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)}\right) \right|.$$

Proof. Let $g(z) \in \mathfrak{M}_\Sigma(x, \gamma, \mu, k)$. Then, for two holomorphic functions r and s such that $r(0) = s(0) = 0$, $|r(z)| = |r_1 z + r_2 z^2 + r_3 z^3 + \dots| < 1$ and $|s(\omega)| = |s_1 \omega + s_2 \omega^2 + s_3 \omega^3 + \dots| < 1$, $z, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$, and using Definition 1.2, we can write

$$\frac{z(D^k g(z))' + \mu z^2(D^k g(z))''}{(1-\gamma)z + \gamma z(D^k g(z))'} = \mathcal{G}(x, r(z)) - 1 \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega(D^k f(\omega))' + \mu \omega^2(D^k f(\omega))''}{(1-\gamma)\omega + \gamma \omega(D^k f(\omega))'} = \mathcal{G}(x, s(\omega)) - 1. \tag{2.23}$$

Following (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8) in the proof of Theorem 2.1, one gets in view of (2.22) and (2.23)

$$2^{k+1}(1-\gamma+\mu)d_2 = L_1(x)r_1, \tag{2.24}$$

$$3^{k+1}(1-\gamma+2\mu)d_3 - 2^{2k+2}(1-\gamma+\mu)\gamma d_2^2 = L_1(x)r_2 + L_2(x)r_1^2, \tag{2.25}$$

$$-2^{k+1}(1-\gamma+\mu)d_2 = L_1(x)s_1, \tag{2.26}$$

$$-3^{k+1}(1-\gamma+2\mu)d_3 + 2^{2k+1}[2\gamma^2 - (5+2\mu)\gamma + 3(1+2\mu)]d_2^2 = L_1(x)s_2 + L_2(x)s_1^2. \tag{2.27}$$

The results (2.19)-(2.21) of this theorem now follow from (2.24)-(2.27) by applying the procedure as in Theorem 2.1 with respect to (2.10)-(2.13). \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $\xi \geq 1$, $\tau \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{0\}$, $g(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^\infty d_j z^j$ be in the family $\mathfrak{B}_\Sigma(x, \xi, \tau, k)$.

Then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{|((8\xi\tau^2 - 7\xi\tau + 1) - 4(2\xi\tau - 1)^2)m^2(x) - 8(2\xi\tau - 1)^2 n(x)|}}, \tag{2.28}$$

$$|d_3| \leq \frac{1}{3^k} \left[\frac{m^2(x)}{4(2\xi\tau - 1)^2} + \frac{|m(x)|}{3(3\xi\tau - 1)} \right] \tag{2.29}$$

and for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{3^{k+1}(3\xi\tau - 1)} ; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \leq \Omega \\ \frac{\left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| |m(x)|^3}{3^k |((8\xi\tau^2 - 7\xi\tau + 1) - 4(2\xi\tau - 1)^2)m^2(x) - 8(2\xi\tau - 1)^2 n(x)|} ; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \geq \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2.30}$$

where

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{3(3\xi\tau - 1)} \left| ((8\xi\tau^2 - 7\xi\tau + 1) - 4(2\xi\tau - 1)^2) - 8(2\xi\tau - 1)^2 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right|.$$

Proof. Let $g(z) \in \mathfrak{B}_\Sigma(x, \xi, \tau, k)$. Then, for two holomorphic functions r and s such that $r(0) = s(0) = 0$, $|r(z)| = |r_1z + r_2z^2 + r_3z^3 + \dots| < 1$ and $|s(\omega)| = |s_1\omega + s_2\omega^2 + s_3\omega^3 + \dots| < 1$, $z, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$, and using Definition 1.3, we can write

$$\frac{(1 - \xi) + \xi[(z(D^k g(z)))']^\tau}{(D^k g(z))'} = \mathfrak{G}(x, (z)) - 1, \quad z \in \mathfrak{D} \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$\frac{(1 - \xi) + \xi[(\omega(D^k f(\omega)))']^\tau}{(D^k f(\omega))'} = \mathfrak{G}(x, (\omega)) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathfrak{D}. \tag{2.32}$$

Following (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8) in the proof of Theorem 2.1, one gets in view of (2.31) and (2.32)

$$2^{k+1}(2\xi\tau - 1)d_2 = L_1(x)r_1, \tag{2.33}$$

$$2^{2k+2}(2\xi\tau^2 - 4\xi\tau + 1)d_2^2 + 3^{k+1}(3\xi\tau - 1)d_3 = L_1(x)r_2 + L_2(x)r_1^2, \tag{2.34}$$

$$-2^{k+1}(2\xi\tau - 1)d_2 = L_1(x)s_1, \tag{2.35}$$

$$2^{2k+1}(4\xi\tau^2 + \xi\tau - 1)d_2^2 - 3^{k+1}(3\xi\tau - 1)d_3 = L_1(x)s_2 + L_2(x)s_1^2. \tag{2.36}$$

The results (2.28)-(2.30) of this theorem now follow from (2.33)-(2.36) by applying the procedure as in Theorem 2.1 with respect to (2.10)-(2.13). □

In next section, we present some interesting consequences of our main result.

3. Corollaries and Consequences

Theorem 2.1 would yield the following corollary for the family $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(x, k)$, when $\gamma = 1/2$ and $\mu = 1/2$.

Corollary 3.1. *If $g(z) \in \mathcal{K}_\Sigma(x, k)$, a subfamily of Σ satisfying*

$$\frac{(z^2(D^k g(z)))'}{(zD^k g(z))'} < \mathfrak{G}(x, z) - 1, \quad z \in \mathfrak{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(\omega^2(D^k f(\omega)))'}{(\omega D^k f(\omega))'} < \mathfrak{G}(x, \omega) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathfrak{D},$$

where $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathfrak{G} is as in (1.4), then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{|m^2(x) + 9n(x)|}}, \quad |d_3| \leq \frac{1}{3^k} \left[\frac{4m^2(x)}{9} + \frac{|m(x)|}{4} \right]$$

and for some $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{4(3^k)}; & \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left| 1 + 9 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right| \\ \frac{2|m(x)|^3 \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right|}{3^k |m^2(x) + 9n(x)|}; & \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right| \geq \frac{1}{8} \left| 1 + 9 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right|. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.2 asserts immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1 for the family $\mathcal{J}_\Sigma(x, k)$, when $\gamma = 0$ and $\mu = 1/2$.

Corollary 3.2. If $g(z) \in \mathcal{J}_\Sigma(x, k)$, a subfamily of Σ satisfying

$$\frac{(z^2(D^k g(z)))'}{2D^k g(z)} < \mathcal{G}(x, z) - 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(\omega^2(D^k f(\omega)))'}{2D^k f(\omega)} < \mathcal{G}(x, \omega) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathcal{G} is as in (1.4), then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{|m^2(x) + 8n(x)|}}, \quad |d_3| \leq \frac{1}{3^k} \left[\frac{m^2(x)}{4} + \frac{|m(x)|}{5} \right]$$

and for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{5(3^k)}; & \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{5} \left| 1 + 8 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right| \\ \frac{|m(x)|^3 \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right|}{3^k |m^2(x) + 8n(x)|}; & \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right| \geq \frac{1}{5} \left| 1 + 8 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right|. \end{cases}$$

We conclude the below result for the family $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma(x, k)$ by putting $\gamma = 1/2$ and $\mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 3.3. If $g(z) \in \mathcal{L}_\Sigma(x, k)$, a subfamily of Σ satisfying

$$\frac{2z(z(D^k g(z)))'}{(zD^k g(z))'} < \mathcal{G}(x, z) - 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2\omega(\omega(D^k f(\omega)))'}{(\omega D^k f(\omega))'} < \mathcal{G}(x, \omega) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathcal{G} is as in (1.4), then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{|6m^2(x) + 25n(x)|}}, \quad |d_3| \leq \frac{1}{3^k} \left[\frac{4m^2(x)}{25} + \frac{|m(x)|}{7} \right]$$

and for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{7(3^k)}; & \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{14} \left| 6 + 25 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right| \\ \frac{2|m(x)|^3 \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right|}{3^k |6m^2(x) + 25n(x)|}; & \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right| \geq \frac{1}{14} \left| 6 + 25 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right|. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.4 asserts an another interesting consequence of Theorem 2.1 for the family $\mathcal{P}_\Sigma(x, \mu, k)$, by putting $\gamma = 0$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\mu \geq 0$. If a function $g(z) \in \mathcal{P}_\Sigma(x, \mu, k)$, a subfamily of Σ satisfying

$$\left(\frac{z(D^k g(z))'}{D^k g(z)} \right) \left(1 + \mu \frac{z(D^k g(z))''}{(D^k g(z))'} \right) < \mathcal{G}(x, z) - 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\omega(D^k f(\omega))'}{D^k f(\omega)} \right) \left(1 + \mu \frac{\omega(D^k f(\omega))''}{(D^k f(\omega))'} \right) < \mathcal{G}(x, \omega) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathcal{G} is as in (1.4), then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{[2|2\mu^2 m^2(x) + (1 + 2\mu)^2 n(x)]}}, \quad |d_3| \leq \frac{1}{3^k} \left[\frac{m^2(x)}{(1 + 2\mu)^2} + \frac{|m(x)|}{2(1 + 3\mu)} \right]$$

and for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{2(3^k)(1+3\mu)} ; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{(1+3\mu)} \left|2\mu^2 + (1+2\mu)^2 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)}\right)\right| \\ \frac{|m(x)|^3 \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right|}{2(3^k)[2\mu^2 m^2(x) + (1+2\mu)^2 n(x)]} ; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \geq \frac{1}{(1+3\mu)} \left|2\mu^2 + (1+2\mu)^2 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)}\right)\right|. \end{cases}$$

Setting $\delta = 1$ and $k = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we arrive at the following:

Corollary 3.5. Let $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$, $\mu \geq \gamma$ and $g(z)$ of the form (1.1) be in $\mathfrak{S}_\Sigma(x, \gamma, \mu, 0)$. Then

$$|d_3 - d_2^2| \leq \frac{|m(x)|}{2(1-\gamma+3\mu)}.$$

Remark 4. Corollary 3.5 reduces to Corollary 5, Corollary 6 and Corollary 7 of [3] when $\mu = \gamma$, $\mu = \gamma = 0$ and $\mu = \gamma = 1$, respectively.

Corollary 3.6 asserts immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 for the family $\mathfrak{K}_\Sigma(x, \mu, k)$ when $\gamma = 0$.

Corollary 3.6. If $g(z) \in \mathfrak{K}_\Sigma(x, \mu, k)$, $\mu \geq 0$, a subfamily of Σ satisfying

$$(D^k g(z))' + \mu z(D^k g(z))'' < \mathfrak{G}(x, z) - 1 \text{ and } (D^k f(\omega))' + \mu \omega(D^k f(\omega))'' < \mathfrak{G}(x, \omega) - 1,$$

where $z, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}$, $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathfrak{G} is as in (1.4), then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{|(4\mu^2 + 2\mu + 1)m^2(x) + 8(1 + \mu)^2 n(x)|}}, \quad |d_3| \leq \frac{1}{3^k} \left[\frac{m^2(x)}{4(1 + \mu)^2} + \frac{|m(x)|}{3(1 + 2\mu)} \right]$$

and for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{3^{k+1}(1+2\mu)} ; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \leq M_1 \\ \frac{|m(x)|^3 \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right|}{3^k |(4\mu^2 + 2\mu + 1)m^2(x) + 8(1 + \mu)^2 n(x)|} ; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \geq M_1, \end{cases}$$

where $M_1 = \frac{1}{3(1+2\mu)} \left| (4\mu^2 + 2\mu + 1) + 8(1 + \mu)^2 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)}\right) \right|.$

Corollary 3.7 asserts an another interesting consequence of Theorem 2.2 for the family $\mathfrak{L}_\Sigma(x, \mu, k)$ by putting $\gamma = 1$.

Corollary 3.7. If $g(z) \in \mathfrak{L}_\Sigma(x, \mu, k)$, $\mu \geq 1$, a subfamily of Σ satisfying

$$1 + \mu \left(\frac{z(D^k g(z))''}{(D^k g(z))'} \right) < \mathfrak{G}(x, z) - 1, \quad z \in \mathfrak{D} \text{ and } 1 + \mu \left(\frac{\omega(D^k f(\omega))''}{(D^k f(\omega))'} \right) < \mathfrak{G}(x, \omega) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathfrak{D},$$

where $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathfrak{G} is as in (1.4), then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{2\mu(2\mu - 1)m^2(x) + 4\mu n(x)}}, \quad |d_3| \leq \frac{1}{2\mu(3^k)} \left[\frac{m^2(x)}{2\mu} + \frac{|m(x)|}{3} \right]$$

and for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{2\mu(3^{k+1})}; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3} \left| (2\mu - 1) + 4\mu \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right| \\ \frac{|m(x)|^3 \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right|}{2\mu(3^k)|(2\mu - 1)m^2(x) + 4\mu n(x)|}; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \geq \frac{1}{3} \left| (2\mu - 1) + 4\mu \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right|. \end{cases}$$

We conclude the below result for the family $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma(x, \xi, k)$ by putting $\tau = 1$ in Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 3.8. *If $g(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(x, \xi, k)$, $\xi \geq 1$, a subfamily of Σ satisfying*

$$(1 - \xi) \frac{1}{(D^k g(z))'} + \xi \left(1 + \frac{z(D^k g(z))''}{(D^k g(z))'} \right) < \mathcal{G}(x, z) - 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$(1 - \xi) \frac{1}{(D^k f(\omega))'} + \xi \left(1 + \frac{\omega(D^k f(\omega))''}{(D^k f(\omega))'} \right) < \mathcal{G}(x, \omega) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathcal{G} is as in (1.4), then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{|(\xi + 1 - 4(2\xi - 1)^2)m^2(x) - 8(2\xi - 1)^2 n(x)|}},$$

$$|d_3| \leq \frac{1}{3^k} \left[\frac{m^2(x)}{4(2\xi - 1)^2} + \frac{|m(x)|}{3(3\xi - 1)} \right]$$

and for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{3^{k+1}(3\xi - 1)}; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \leq \Omega_1 \\ \frac{2|m(x)|^3 \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right|}{3^k |(\xi + 1 - 4(2\xi - 1)^2)m^2(x) - 8(2\xi - 1)^2 n(x)|}; & \left|1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}}\right| \geq \Omega_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega_1 = \frac{1}{3(3\xi - 1)} \left| (\xi + 1 - 4(2\xi - 1)^2) - 8(2\xi - 1)^2 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right|$.

Theorem 2.3 would yield the following corollary for the family $\mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(x, \tau, k)$, when $\xi = 1$.

Corollary 3.9. *If $g(z) \in \mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(x, \tau, k)$, $\tau \geq 1$, a subfamily of Σ satisfying*

$$\frac{[(z(D^k g(z))')']^\tau}{(D^k g(z))'} < \mathcal{G}(x, z) - 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{[(\omega(D^k f(\omega))')']^\tau}{(D^k f(\omega))'} < \mathcal{G}(x, \omega) - 1, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $f(\omega) = g^{-1}(\omega)$ is as in (1.2) and \mathcal{G} is as in (1.4), then

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{|m(x)|\sqrt{|m(x)|}}{2^k \sqrt{|(8\tau^2 - 7\tau + 1 - 4(2\tau - 1)^2)m^2(x) - 8(2\tau - 1)^2 n(x)|}},$$

$$|d_3| \leq \frac{1}{3^k} \left[\frac{m^2(x)}{4(2\tau - 1)^2} + \frac{|m(x)|}{3(3\tau - 1)} \right]$$

and for $\delta \in \mathcal{R}$

$$|d_3 - \delta d_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|m(x)|}{3^{k+1}(3\tau - 1)} ; & \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right| \leq \Omega_2 \\ \frac{|m(x)|^3 \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right|}{3^k |((8\tau^2 - 7\tau + 1) - 4(2\tau - 1)^2)m^2(x) - 8(2\tau - 1)^2 n(x)|} ; & \left| 1 - \frac{3^k \delta}{2^{2k}} \right| \geq \Omega_2, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } \Omega_2 = \frac{1}{3(3\tau - 1)} \left| (8\tau^2 - 7\tau + 1 - 4(2\tau - 1)^2) - 8(2\tau - 1)^2 \left(\frac{n(x)}{m^2(x)} \right) \right|.$$

4. Conclusion

Using the concept of subordination, we have introduced some special families of holomorphic and Sălăgean type bi-univalent functions in the open unit disc \mathcal{D} associated with (m, n) -Lucas polynomials. We have then derived the initial coefficient estimations and also Fekete-Szegő inequalities for functions belonging to these special families. Our main results are obtained in Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. Further by specializing the parameters, several consequences of these new families are mentioned.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] A. Akgül, (P, Q) -Lucas polynomial coefficient inequalities of the bi-univalent function class, *Turkish Journal of Mathematics* **43** (2019), 2170 – 2176, URL: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/issues/mat-19-43-5/mat-43-5-8-1903-38.pdf>.
- [2] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, On the Chebyshev polynomial coefficient problem of some subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Gulf Journal of Mathematics* **5**(3) (2017), 34 – 40, URL: <https://gjom.org/index.php/gjom/article/view/105/95>.
- [3] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, On the (p, q) -Lucas polynomial coefficient bounds of the bi-univalent function class, *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana* **25** (2019), 567 – 575, DOI: 10.1007/s40590-018-0212-z.
- [4] M. Çağlar, E. Deniz and H. M. Srivastava, Second Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Turkish Journal of Mathematics* **41** (2017), 694 – 706, URL: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/issues/mat-17-41-3/mat-41-3-19-1602-25.pdf>.
- [5] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften* **259**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1983), URL: <https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=8mVIAzmsyOgC&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=related:E6E-mxhj4gwJ:scholar.google.com/&ots=mlchb9Kgws&sig=SPVFHP4-C1BuHiVLEvceilfpk0g#v=onepage&q&f=false>.
- [6] M. Fekete and G. Szegő, Eine Bemerkung Über Ungerade Schlichte Funktionen, *Journal of London Mathematical Society* **89** (1933), 85 – 89, DOI: 10.1112/jlms/s1-8.2.85.

- [7] P. Filippini and A. F. Horadam, Second derivative sequence of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials, *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **31** (1993), 194 – 204, URL: <https://www.fq.math.ca/31-3.html>.
- [8] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclass of bi-univalent functions, *Applied Mathematics Letters* **24** (2011), 1569 – 1573, DOI: 10.1016/j.aml.2011.03.048.
- [9] A. F. Horadam and J. M. Mahon, Pell and Pell-Lucas polynomials, *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **23** (1985), 7 – 20, URL: <https://www.fq.math.ca/23-1.html>.
- [10] G. Lee and M. Asci, Some properties of the (p, q) -Fibonacci and (p, q) -Lucas polynomials, *Journal of Applied Mathematics* **2012** (2012), Article ID 264842, 1 – 18, DOI: 10.1155/2012/264842.
- [11] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **18** (1967), 63 – 68, URL: <https://www.ams.org/journals/proc/1967-018-01/S0002-9939-1967-0206255-1/S0002-9939-1967-0206255-1.pdf>.
- [12] A. Lupas, A guide of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials, *Octagon Mathematical Magazine* **7** (1999), 2 – 12.
- [13] G. S. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1013** (1983), 362 – 372, Springer, Berlin, DOI: 10.1007/BFb0066543.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Applied Mathematics Letters* **23** (2010), 1188 – 1192, DOI: 10.1016/j.aml.2010.05.009.
- [15] S. R. Swamy, Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier differential operator, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **10(2)** (2015), 229 – 238, URL: <http://www.researchmathsci.org/apamart/APAM-v10n2-13.pdf>.
- [16] T.-T. Wang and W.-P. Zhang, Some identities involving Fibonacci, Lucas polynomials and their applications, *Bulletin mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie (New Series)* **55(103)** (2012), 95 – 103, URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43679243?seq=1>.