



# The $m$ -Clique Load and the $m$ -Clique Sequence of Graphs

Johan Kok<sup>1,\*</sup> and G. Marimuthu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Tshwane Metropolitan Police Department, City of Tshwane, Republic of South Africa

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, The Madura College, Madurai 625 011, Tamil Nadu, India

\*Corresponding author: kokkiek2@tshwane.gov.za

**Abstract.** This paper introduces the concepts of the  $m$ -clique load, the  $m$ -clique sequence and the  $m$ -clique density of graphs. The number of distinct maximum cliques over all maximal cliques is called the  $m$ -clique load of  $G$  and denoted,  $\diamond(G)$ . The  $m$ -clique sequence denoted,  $\diamond$ -sequence of a graph  $G$  with  $\epsilon(G) \geq 1$  is the sequence with entries representing the number of maximal cliques of same order found in  $G$ , in descending order. A finite sequence of positive integers each indexed with a distinct positive integer subscript which is  $c$ -graphical, is characterised. The  $m$ -clique density of a graph  $G$  denoted,  $p_{c_i}(G)$  is the probability of uniformly at random, choosing a maximal clique  $K_{c_i}$ ,  $1 \leq c_i \leq \nu(G)$ . Introductory results for certain graph classes and power graphs of balanced caterpillars,  $C_{P_n}^{\Sigma}$  are also presented.

**Keywords.**  $m$ -clique,  $m$ -clique load,  $m$ -clique sequence,  $m$ -clique density

**MSC.** 05C35; 05C38; 05C69; 05C75

**Received:** April 18, 2016

**Accepted:** July 7, 2016

Copyright © 2016 Johan Kok and G. Marimuthu. *This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

## 1. Introduction

For general notation and concepts in graph theory, we refer to [3, 5]. Unless mentioned otherwise, a graph  $G = G(V, E)$  on  $\nu(G)$  vertices with  $\epsilon(G)$  edges will be a finite undirected and connected simple graph. A clique of a graph  $G$  is a complete subgraph of  $G$ . A maximal clique of  $G$  is a clique  $Q$  for which a neighbor  $u$  of any vertex  $v \in V(Q)$ ,  $u \notin V(Q)$  is not adjacent to all vertices in  $V(Q)$ . We denote a maximal clique as  $m$ -clique. Since the  $m$ -cliques of a graph may differ in

order, we define the  $m$ -clique load denoted,  $\diamond(G)$  to be the number of distinct  $m$ -cliques over all  $m$ -cliques of  $G$ . Clearly an acyclic graph (a tree)  $H$  on  $n \geq 2$  vertices has,  $\diamond(H) = \epsilon(H)$ . Equally clear is that for complete graphs  $K_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$  we have  $\diamond(K_n) = 1$ .

Since the  $m$ -cliques of a graph  $G$  may differ in order, and the number of distinct  $m$ -cliques of the same order may differ from that of a different order. Therefore, there is no relationship between  $\diamond(G)$  and  $\diamond(G')$  with  $G'$  a subgraph of  $G$ .

Distinct  $m$ -cliques may have vertices or edges in common. The latter  $m$ -cliques are called *intersecting  $m$ -cliques*. The intersection of  $m$ -cliques are taken as the clique common to the  $m$ -cliques. For example if two distinct  $m$ -cliques share a clique which is a triangle  $C_3$  on vertices  $v_i, v_j, v_k$ , then the edges  $v_i v_j, v_i v_k, v_j v_k$  are *inherently* common edges and therefore, not distinguished as common edges. Similarly the vertices  $v_i, v_j, v_k$  are *inherently* common vertices and are therefore, not distinguished as common vertices.

Call the vertices and the edges of a graph  $G$  the *primitive elements* of  $G$ . Then the probability of uniformly at random, choosing either a vertex or an edge is given by  $p_{v \in V(G)}(G) = \frac{v(G)}{v(G) + \epsilon(G)}$  and  $p_{e \in E(G)}(G) = \frac{\epsilon(G)}{v(G) + \epsilon(G)}$ , respectively. Similarly the  $m$ -clique density denoted,  $p_{c_i}(G)$  is the probability of uniformly at random, choosing a maximal clique  $K_{c_i}$ ,  $1 \leq c_i \leq v(G)$ . Therefore, the  $m$ -clique density of a graph is not necessary a unique value since it depends on the order of the clique under consideration.

The motivation for studying these concepts is their application in the theory of proper colouring of graphs, and therefore finding further results relating to the chromatic number, the  $b$ -chromatic number and the Thue chromatic number of graphs. Finding maximal independent sets, maximal independent sequences in complement graphs is another application. Following from Definition 3.1 in Section 3 it is easy to see that for the number of maximum independent sets in  $G$  denoted,  $\square(G)$ , we have  $\diamond(G) = \square(G^c)$ . Furthermore,  $s^\diamond(G) = s^\square(G^c)$ . These observations open scope for further research.

Determining cliques in general in a graph enjoyed extensive research. We refer to *A fast algorithm to find a maximum clique* by Östergård [12]. Techniques to determine cliques in *soft graphs* by Hoede [9], refers. Also, *detecting large cliques* by Andreev et al. [2] and *the number of cliques in dense graphs*, Hedman [7], refer. It is possible to find all cliques by using the *Bron-Kerbosch algorithm* [4]. The aim of this paper is to find introductory results for the  $m$ -clique load and the  $\diamond$ -sequence of some graphs. Hence, graphs with easily detectable maximal cliques will be studied.

## 2. The Clique Load

Intersecting  $m$ -cliques lead to perhaps an obvious, but important introductory result.

**Proposition 2.1.** *If two or more distinct  $m$ -cliques of  $G$  share  $k \geq 1$  common vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k$ , then the induced graph,  $\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k \rangle$  is a clique of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Case (i): If  $k = 1$ , let the common vertex be  $v$ . Since,  $K_1 \simeq \langle v \rangle$  is complete, the result follows.

Case (ii): Let  $k \geq 2$ . Consider any two vertices  $v_1, v_2$  common to two or more  $m$ -cliques of  $G$ . Then the edge  $v_1v_2$  is common to these  $m$ -cliques. Hence, for all mutually distinct pairs of vertices  $v_i, v_j \in \{v_m : 1 \leq m \leq k\}$  the edges  $v_iv_j \in E(G)$  are common to these  $m$ -cliques. Thus,  $G$  being a simple graph it follows that  $\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k \rangle$  is a simple subgraph and complete say,  $K_n, n \geq 1$  and therefore a clique of  $G$ .  $\square$

The next corollary follows immediately from Proposition 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** *If two or more distinct  $m$ -cliques of  $G$  share  $k \geq 1$  common vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k$ , then the  $m$ -cliques share  $\frac{1}{2}k(k - 1)$  edges.*

*Proof.* From Proposition 2.1 it follows that the induced graph  $\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k \rangle$  is a clique. Since a clique is a complete graph, the number of edges shared is  $\frac{1}{2}k(k - 1)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *The only  $m$ -clique say,  $Q$  of order  $n \geq 2$  for which the count,  $(1 \text{ } m\text{-clique}) = \epsilon(Q) = 1$ , is the  $m$ -clique  $K_2$  (or  $P_2$ ).*

*Proof.* Trivial.  $\square$

When a cluster of two cycles (not necessarily of the same order) are allowed to merge at least one edge to share at least one common edge, the new graph is called a *C-gridlike cluster*. Two or more *C-gridlike clusters* are allowed to merge similarly to get an expanded *C-gridlike cluster*. When a cluster of two or more cycles are all allowed to merge a vertex to share a common vertex, the new graph is called a *C-cloverlike cluster*. When a cluster of two or more cycles are allowed to all merge an edge for all to share a common edge, the new graph is called a *C-booklike cluster*. When two cycles  $C_n \subseteq C$ -element,  $C_m \subseteq C$ -element are joined by a path  $ve_0w_1e_1w_2e_2w_3 \dots e_{m-1}w_me_mu, v \in V(C_n), u \in V(C_m)$  we say they are adjacent. We now define the classes of cyclic (*C-like*) and acyclic (*C-treelike*) graphs  $G^*$ .

**Definition 2.1.** If a graph  $G^*$  is the composition of adjacent *C*-elements and  $G^*$  has a cycle between at least two *C*-elements,  $G^*$  is cyclic (or *C-like*), else it is acyclic (or *C-treelike*).

**Observation 2.2.** *C-like and C-treelike graphs can be decomposed into cycles  $C_i, C_j, C_k, \dots, C_m$  and paths  $P_g, P_h, P_l, \dots, P_t$  such that:*

$$\epsilon(G^*) = \sum_{\text{all-cycles}} \epsilon(C_\ell) + \sum_{\text{all-paths}} \epsilon(P_{\ell'}), \quad \ell \in \{i, j, k, \dots, m\} \text{ and } \ell' \in \{g, h, l, \dots, t\}.$$

The decomposition may not be unique, and may not be isomorphic to the initial composition *C*-elements. Formalising this observation remains open.

**Proposition 2.4.** *The only graphs  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  with  $\diamond(G) = \epsilon(G)$  are bipartite graphs, odd cycles, *C-like* and *C-treelike* graphs.*

*Proof.* (i) For a bipartite graph  $G$  the maximal  $m$ -cliques are all  $K_2$  (or  $P_2$ ) hence,  $\diamond(G) = \epsilon(G)$ . For any other graph  $H$  containing at least a triangle  $C_3$ , at least 3 edges as discounted for the count of (1  $m$ -clique). A larger  $m$ -clique implies the existence of a triangle, hence an

odd cycle in  $H$ . Therefore,  $H$  is not bipartite. The result applies to even cycles and paths as well.

- (ii) Clearly the only  $m$ -cliques in an odd cycle  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$  are  $K_2$  (or  $P_2$ ) hence,  $\diamond(C_n) = \epsilon(C_n)$ .
- (iii) From Observation 2.2 it follows that  $C$ -like and  $C$ -treelike graphs  $G^*$  can be decomposed into cycles  $C_i, C_j, C_k, \dots, C_m$  and maximal paths  $P_g, P_h, P_l, \dots, P_t$  such that:

$$\epsilon(G^*) = \sum_{\text{all-cycles}} \epsilon(C_\ell) + \sum_{\text{all-paths}} \epsilon(P_{\ell'}), \ell \in \{i, j, k, \dots, m\} \text{ and } \ell' \in \{g, h, l, \dots, t\}.$$

Following from (i) and (ii) it follow that for all paths and all cycles in the decomposition,

$$\diamond(G^*) = \sum_{\text{all-cycles}} \diamond(C_\ell) + \sum_{\text{all-paths}} \diamond(P_{\ell'}), \ell \in \{i, j, k, \dots, m\} \text{ and } \ell' \in \{g, h, l, \dots, t\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\diamond(G^*) = \epsilon(G^*). \quad \square$$

If the condition of connectedness is relaxed and  $\mathfrak{N}_{0,n}$  denotes the null graph (edgeless graph) on  $n$  vertices, then for any graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices, we have  $\diamond(G) \leq \diamond(\mathfrak{N}_{0,n}) = n$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** For any connected graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\diamond(G) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, for any connected graph of order  $n \geq 2$ , the complete bipartite graph  $H = K_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$  is the unique connected triangle free graph with maximum number of edges. Hence, the largest  $m$ -cliques found in  $H$  are  $K_2$  (or  $P_2$ ). Therefore,  $\diamond(G) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . □

**Corollary 2.6.** A connected graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  and  $\epsilon(G)$  edges which has no odd cycle has,  $\diamond(G) = \epsilon(G)$ .

*Proof.* It is known that a graph  $G$  is bipartite if and only  $G$  contains no odd cycle. Therefore,  $G$  has no triangles,  $K_3$  (or  $C_3$ ) hence, the largest  $m$ -cliques are  $K_2$  (or  $P_2$ ). So,  $\diamond(G) = \epsilon(G)$ . □

### 2.1 Line Graph of Some Graphs

Consider the line graph  $L(G)$  of the graph  $G$ . We have the next result.

**Proposition 2.7.** If a graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 4$  has  $\ell$  vertices of maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$ , then  $\diamond(L(G)) = \ell$  and these maximum  $m$ -cliques are  $K_{\Delta(G)}$ .

*Proof.* Because  $L(K_1)$  is empty,  $L(K_2) = L(P_2) = K_1$  and  $L(C_3) = C_3$  these graphs are excluded hence the bound  $n \geq 4$ .

Consider a vertex  $v$  of  $G$  with  $d_G(v) = \Delta(G)$  and label the edges incident with  $v$  to be,  $e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{\Delta(G)}$  and the corresponding line graph vertices to be,  $u_{e_1}, u_{e_2}, u_{e_3}, \dots, u_{e_{\Delta(G)}}$ . From the definition of the line graph  $L(G)$ , the induced subgraph  $D = \langle u_{e_1}, u_{e_2}, u_{e_3}, \dots, u_{e_{\Delta(G)}} \rangle$  of  $L(G)$  is maximal complete, hence a  $m$ -clique,  $K_{\Delta(G)}$ . Assume there exist a larger  $m$ -clique  $D^*$  of  $L(G)$ . Hence  $|V(D^*)| \geq |V(D)| + 1$ . It implies that a vertex  $u$  exists in  $G$  with

$\frac{1}{2}|V(D^*)|(|V(D^*)| - 1) \geq \frac{1}{2}|V(D)|(|V(D)| - 1)$  edges incident with  $u$  in  $G$ . Hence  $d_G(u) > d_G(v)$ , which contradicts that  $d_G(v) = \Delta(G)$  so such larger  $m$ -clique does not exist. Therefore,  $\diamond(L(G)) = \ell$  and these maximum  $m$ -cliques are  $K_{\Delta(G)}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** For:

- (i)  $P_n, n \geq 3$ , we have  $\diamond(L(P_n)) = n - 1$ .
- (ii)  $C_n, n \geq 3$ , we have  $\diamond(L(C_n)) = n$ .
- (iii)  $K_3, \diamond(L(K_3)) = 1$ , otherwise  $\diamond(L(K_n)) = n, n \geq 4$ .
- (iv) A star  $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$ , we have  $\diamond(L(K_{1,n})) = 1$ .
- (v) A wheel  $W_{1,n}, n \geq 4$  we have  $\diamond(W_{1,n}) = n$  and  $\diamond(L(W_{1,n})) = 1$  with maximum  $m$ -clique,  $K_n$ .
- (vi) A  $m$ -regular graph  $G, m \geq 3$ , we have  $\diamond(L(G)) = v(G)$ .
- (vii) A graph  $G$  with non-intersecting maximum  $m$ -cliques,  $K_m$ . If all vertices  $v$  in the maximum  $m$ -cliques of  $G$  have degree equal to  $\Delta(G)$ , then  $\diamond(L(G)) = m \cdot \diamond(G)$ .

*Proof.* (i) and (ii): Because  $L(P_n) = P_{n-1}$  and  $L(C_n) = C_n$ , the results are obvious.

(iii): Since  $L(K_3) = K_3$  the first part follows trivially. For  $K_n, n \geq 4$  only the edges incident with vertex  $v$  induce a maximal complete graph  $K_{n-1}$  in  $L(K_n)$ . Hence,  $L(K_n)$  has  $n$  of these maximum  $m$ -cliques. So  $\diamond(L(K_n)) = n$ .

(iv): Since,  $L(K_{1,n}), n \geq 3$  is maximum complete it follows that  $\diamond(L(K_{1,n})) = 1$ .

(v): The central vertex of the wheel together with any pair of adjacent vertices on the cycle induce a triangle  $C_3$ . Since the cycle  $C_n$  has  $n$  edges exactly  $n$  such distinct of adjacent pairs of vertices exist. Thus the first part of the result follows. The second part follows from (ii).

(vi): Similar to a star  $K_{1,m}$ , the edges incident with a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , induce a maximal complete graph  $K_m$  in  $L(G)$ . Hence,  $v(G)$  such maximal complete graphs are induced in  $L(G)$ . Therefore,  $\diamond(L(G)) = v(G)$ .

(vii): Since all edges incident with a vertex in a maximum  $m$ -clique are of regular and maximum *edge-degree* the result follows immediately.  $\square$

In [1] Abdo and Dimitrov defined the *subdivision graph* of a graph  $G$  denoted  $S(G)$  to be the graph obtained by subdividing each edge  $e_i$  of  $G$  with an additional vertex  $v_{e_i}$ . Clearly the subdivision graph destroys completeness so,  $\diamond(S(G)) = 2\epsilon(G)$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** Consider a graph  $G$  with non-intersecting maximum  $m$ -cliques,  $K_m$ . If all vertices  $v$  in the maximum  $m$ -cliques of  $G$  have degree equal to  $\Delta(G)$ , then  $\diamond(S(G)) = \diamond(L(G)) = m \cdot \diamond(G)$ .

*Proof.* The part,  $\diamond(L(G)) = m \cdot \diamond(G)$  follows from Proposition 2.8(vii). Since all edges incident with a vertex in a maximum  $m$ -clique of  $G$  are of regular and maximum *edge-degree* and remains such in  $S(G)$  the result follows immediately.  $\square$

We recall that a *triangle parallel graph* of a graph  $G$  denoted by  $P(G)$ , is defined to be the graph obtained by replacing each edge of  $G$  with a cycle  $C_3$ . So, for an acyclic graph  $H$  it is evident that  $\diamond(P(H)) = \epsilon(H)$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order at least 3. If  $T$  have  $m \geq 1$  vertices with degree equal to  $\Delta(T)$ , then  $\diamond(L(P(T))) = m$  with largest cliques,  $K_{2\Delta(T)}$ .*

*Proof.* From the definition of  $P(G)$  all vertex degrees doubled in count. Hence  $P(T)$  has  $m$  degrees of equal degree, equal to  $\Delta(P(T)) \geq 4$ . Hence, the first part of the result follows from Proposition 2.8(iv). Label the vertices in  $T$  with equal degree, equal to  $\Delta(T)$ ,  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m$ , respectively. Clearly in  $P(T)$  we have  $d_{P(T)}(v_i) = 2 \cdot d_T(v_i) \forall 1 \leq i \leq m$ . So the maximum  $m$ -clique induced in  $L(P(T))$  by the edges incident with  $v_i$ , is given by  $K_{2\Delta(T)}$ .  $\square$

## 2.2 Jaco Graphs

Despite earlier definitions in respect of the family of Jaco graphs [8], the definitions found in [10] serve as the unifying definitions. For ease of reference some important definitions are repeated here. Note that a Jaco graph is a directed graph.

**Definition 2.3** ([10]). The family of finite linear Jaco graphs denoted by  $\{J_n(f(x)) : f(x) = mx + c; x \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } m, c \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is defined by  $V(J_n(f(x))) = \{v_i : i \in \mathbb{N}, i \leq n\}$ ,  $A(J_n(f(x))) \subseteq \{(v_i, v_j) | i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i < j \leq n\}$  and  $(v_i, v_j) \in A(J_n(f(x)))$  if and only if  $(f(i) + i) - d^-(v_i) \geq j$ .

**Definition 2.4** ([10]). Vertices with degree  $\Delta(J_n(f(x)))$  is called Jaconian vertices and the set of vertices with maximum degree is called the Jaconian set of the linear Jaco graph  $J_n(f(x))$ , and denoted,  $\mathbb{J}(J_n(f(x)))$  or,  $\mathbb{J}_n(f(x))$  for brevity.

**Definition 2.5** ([10]). The lowest numbered (indexed) Jaconian vertex is called the prime Jaconian vertex of a linear Jaco graph.

**Definition 2.6** ([10]). If  $v_i$  is the prime Jaconian vertex, the complete subgraph on vertices  $v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_n$  is called the Hope subgraph of a linear Jaco graph and denoted,  $\mathbb{H}(J_n(f(x)))$  or,  $\mathbb{H}_n(f(x))$  for brevity.

For now we will only consider the special case,  $f(x) = x$ . The next lemma holds.

**Lemma 2.11** ([10]). *For the function  $f(x) = x$  we have for  $J_n(x)$  that:*

- (i)  $d^+(v_n) + d^-(v_n) = n$ .
- (ii)  $d^-(v_{n+1}) \in \{d^-(v_n), d^-(v_n) + 1\}$ .
- (iii) *If  $(v_i, v_k) \in A(J_\infty(x))$  and  $i < j < k$ , then  $(v_j, v_k) \in A(J_\infty(x))$ .*
- (iv)  $d^+(v_n) = a_n, n \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** *The underlying Jaco graph  $J_n^*(x)$ , has  $\diamond(J_n^*(x)) \leq 3$ .*

*Proof.* Utilising Lemma 2.11 the table below (also known as the Fisher table [8, 10])<sup>1</sup>, can easily be constructed iteratively.

$\phi(v_i) \rightarrow i \in \mathbb{N}$	$d^-(v_i) = v(\mathbb{H}_{i-1})$	$d^+(v_i) = i - d^-(v_i)$	$\mathbb{J}(J_i(x))$	$\Delta(J_i(x))$
1 = $f_2$	0	1	{ $v_1$ }	0
2 = $f_3$	1	1	{ $v_1, v_2$ }	1
3 = $f_4$	1	2	{ $v_2$ }	2
4	1	3	{ $v_2, v_3$ }	2
5 = $f_5$	2	3	{ $v_3$ }	3
6	2	4	{ $v_3, v_4, v_5$ }	3
7	3	4	{ $v_4, v_5$ }	4
8 = $f_6$	3	5	{ $v_5$ }	5
9	3	6	{ $v_5, v_6, v_7$ }	5
10	4	6	{ $v_6, v_7$ }	6
11	4	7	{ $v_7$ }	7
12	4	8	{ $v_7, v_8$ }	7
13 = $f_7$	5	8	{ $v_8$ }	8
14	5	9	{ $v_8, v_9, v_{10}$ }	8
15	6	9	{ $v_9, v_{10}$ }	9
16	6	10	{ $v_{10}$ }	10
17	6	11	{ $v_{10}, v_{11}$ }	10
18	7	11	{ $v_{11}$ }	11
19	7	12	{ $v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}$ }	11
20	8	12	{ $v_{12}, v_{13}$ }	12
21 = $f_8$	8	13	{ $v_{13}$ }	13
22	8	14	{ $v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{15}$ }	13
23	9	14	{ $v_{14}, v_{15}$ }	14
24	9	15	{ $v_{15}$ }	15
25	9	16	{ $v_{15}, v_{16}$ }	15
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$

Now consider any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then in  $J_n^*(x)$  a maximum  $m$ -clique,  $K_{d^-(v_n)+1}$  is given by

$$\langle v_{n-d^-(v_n)}, v_{(n-d^-(v_n))+1}, v_{(n-d^-(v_n))+2}, \dots, v_n \rangle.$$

In [9] it has been shown that a value  $d^-(v_n)$  can repeat at most 3 times. Hence,  $\diamond(J_n^*(x)) \leq 3$  follows. □

**Conjecture 2.2.1.** For  $J_n^*(f(x))$ ,  $f(x) = mx + c$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $c \geq 1$  we have:  $\diamond(J_n^*(f(x))) \leq 2$ .

<sup>1</sup>Named after Dr Paul Fisher, Department of Mathematics, University of Botswana, who described the Fisher algorithm informally to the first author in personal correspondence.

### 2.3 Double Graph

We recall that the notion of the *double graph* of a graph is defined as follows. Consider a graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  and label the vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n$ . Copy  $G$  denoted  $G'$  and label the corresponding *mirror* vertices  $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n$ . The double graph of  $G$  is the graph  $G_{\mathcal{D}}$  defined as  $G_{\mathcal{D}}(V, E)$ ,  $V(G_{\mathcal{D}}) = \{v_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{u_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ ,  $E(G_{\mathcal{D}}) = E(G) \cup E(G') \cup \{v_i u_j : \text{if and only if edge } v_i v_j \in E(G)\}$ .

**Theorem 2.13.** For any graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\diamond(L(G_{\mathcal{D}})) = 2 \cdot \diamond(L(G))$ .

*Proof.* We construct the proof as follows. First consider the union  $H = G \cup G'$ . Clearly if graph  $G$  has  $\ell$  vertices of degree equal to  $\Delta(G)$  then  $H$  has  $2\ell$  such vertices. Consider any vertex  $v_i \in V(G)$ ,  $\deg_G(v_i) = \Delta(G)$  and label its neighboring vertices  $v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, \dots, v_{i,\Delta(G)}$ . So in the copy graph the mirror vertices  $u_i$  and  $u_{i,1}, u_{i,2}, \dots, u_{i,\Delta(G)}$  exist. Adding the defined edges to obtain  $G_{\mathcal{D}}$  results in  $2\ell$  vertices with degree equal to  $\Delta(G_{\mathcal{D}}) = 2\Delta(G)$ . Following from Proposition 2.9 it follows that  $\diamond(L(G_{\mathcal{D}})) = 2 \cdot \diamond(L(G))$ .  $\square$

### 2.4 Set-graphs

The notion of a set-graph was introduced in [9]. For ease of reference the definition of a set graph is repeated here.

**Definition 2.7** ([9]). Let  $A^{(n)} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be a non-empty set and the  $i$ -th  $s$ -element subset of  $A^{(n)}$  be denoted by  $A_{s,i}^{(n)}$ . Now consider  $\mathcal{S} = \{A_{s,i}^{(n)} : A_{s,i}^{(n)} \subseteq A^{(n)}, A_{s,i}^{(n)} \neq \emptyset\}$ . The set graph corresponding to set  $A^{(n)}$ , denoted  $G_{A^{(n)}}$ , is defined to be the graph with  $V(G_{A^{(n)}}) = \{v_{s,i} : A_{s,i}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}\}$  and  $E(G_{A^{(n)}}) = \{v_{s,i} v_{t,j} : A_{s,i}^{(n)} \cap A_{t,j}^{(n)} \neq \emptyset\}$ , where  $s \neq t$  or  $i \neq j$ .

Note that in [9] the result that the set-graph  $G_{A^{(n)}}$ ,  $n \geq 2$  has  $2n - 2$  largest complete subgraphs,  $K_{2n-2}$  was proven. It implies that  $\diamond(G_{A^{(n)}}) = 2n - 2$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

**Corollary 2.14.** For a set-graph  $G_{A^{(n)}}$ ,  $n \geq 3$  we recursively have:  $\diamond(G_{A^{(n)}}) = \diamond(G_{A^{(n-1)}}) + 2$  and if subgraphs  $D, D'$  are maximum  $m$ -cliques of  $G_{A^{(n)}}$  and  $G_{A^{(n-1)}}$  respectively, then  $|V(D)| = 2|V(D')|$ .

*Proof.* The corollary is a direct consequence of the proof of Proposition 2.11 in [9].  $\square$

We note that  $\diamond(G_{A^{(n)}})$ ,  $n \geq 3$  is always even. So if  $\diamond(G)$  is odd, then  $G$  is non-isomorphic to a set-graph.

### 2.5 $k$ -th Power Graph of Caterpillars

We recall that a *caterpillar* is a graph obtained from a path  $P_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$  with vertex set  $V(P_n) = \{u_i : 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$ , with arbitrary non-negative integers of leafs say,  $l_0, l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n-1}$  attached to the corresponding indexed vertices. In popular literature the leafs are called *thorns* and we call the clusters of thorns  $l_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , *trods*. The path  $P_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$  is called the *spine* or *stalk* of the caterpillar. Clearly  $P_n$  is a unique dominating path of the caterpillar. Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{l_i : 0 \leq l \leq n - 1\}$ . We denote the corresponding caterpillar  $C_{P_n}^{\mathcal{L}}$ . From Corollary 2.6 it follows

immediately that,  $\diamond(C_{P_n}^{\Sigma}) = (n - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i$ . A caterpillar  $C_{P_n}^{\Sigma}$  for which  $\ell_i = \ell_j, 0 \leq i, j \leq n - 1$ , is called a *balanced caterpillar*.

**Theorem 2.15.** For the  $k$ -th power graph,  $2 \leq k \leq n + 1$  of a balanced caterpillar  $C_{P_n}^{\Sigma}$  we have:

- (i)  $\diamond(C_{P_n}^{\Sigma^k}) = n - i, i = 2j, 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , and  $2 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\diamond(C_{P_n}^{\Sigma^k}) = 1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq k \leq n$ .

*Proof.* (i): Label the leafs  $\ell_i$  to be  $v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, \dots, v_{i,\ell}$ . Assume the vertices of the spine  $P_n$  is labeled from left-to-right. Clearly, in  $C_{P_n}^{\Sigma^2}$  a leaf  $v_{1,m}, 1 \leq m \leq \ell$  only has a furthest connectivity reach to the right, to connect with  $u_1$ . By symmetry a leaf  $v_{(n-1),m}, 1 \leq m \leq \ell$  only has a furthest connectivity reach to the left, to connect with  $u_{n-2}$ . All the other leafs have both left-to-right and right-to-left connectivity reach. So the largest cliques in  $C_{P_n}^{\Sigma^2}$  are those containing a leafs,  $v_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq n - 2$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \ell\}$ . There are exactly  $n - 2$  such maximum  $m$ -cliques. Hence,  $n - 2, 2 = 2 \cdot 1, 1 \leq 1 \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$  such cliques. The result follows through immediate induction.

(ii): At the critical power  $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$  only one maximum  $m$ -clique exists. Thereafter, as  $k$  increases only the order of the clique increases. Since a power graph is only defined for  $k \leq \text{diam}(G)$ , Case (ii) follows immediately. □

### 3. $m$ -Clique Sequence of Graph

We introduce the notion of the  *$m$ -clique sequence* or  $\diamond$ -sequence of a graph  $G$  with  $\epsilon(G) \geq 1$ . The  $\diamond$ -sequence of  $G$  is denoted,  $s^\diamond(G)$ . Essentially the next definition formalises the notion that the  $m$ -clique sequence of a graph  $G$  is the sequence with entries representing the number of  $m$ -cliques of same order found in  $G$ , in descending order. Furthermore, each entry has the corresponding  $m$ -clique order as subscript.

**Definition 3.1.** The  $\diamond$ -sequence of a graph  $G$  with  $\epsilon(G) \geq 1$  is the sequence,  $s^\diamond(G) = (a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t_G)$ ,  $c_i$  the  $m$ -clique order,  $a_i$  is the number of distinct  $i$ -th  $m$ -cliques (in terms of order) imbedded in  $G$  and  $t_G$  is the number of different  $m$ -cliques found in  $G$ .

The value  $t_G$  can also be written as  $t_G = |s^\diamond(G)|$ . Noting that an edge and an isolated vertex are inherently a maximum complete subgraph, it follows that for  $K_1$  (or  $P_1$ ),  $s^\diamond(K_1) = (1_1)$ . and for  $K_2$  (or  $P_2$ ),  $s^\diamond(K_2) = (1_2)$ . The *sequence simplication law* allows that entries (or elements) of a  $\diamond$ -sequence with equal order subscripts, be added. The application of the law is denoted by,  $\text{simp}(a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t_G)$ . Similar to the union operation found in set theory, a  *$u$ -plus* operation is valid for  $\diamond$ -sequences. We define  $s^\diamond(G) \uplus s^\diamond(H) = \text{simp}(s^\diamond(G) \cup s^\diamond(H))$ .

Perhaps an improved illustrative application of Definition 3.1 and the  *$u$ -plus* operation is found in the next result.

**Theorem 3.1.** For the join of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  we have that:

- (i)  $\diamond(G + H) = \diamond(G) \cdot \diamond(H)$ .

$$(ii) |s^\diamond(G + H)| \leq |s^\diamond(G)| \cdot |s^\diamond(H)|.$$

*Proof.* (i): Let  $s^\diamond(G) = (a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t_G)$  and  $s^\diamond(H) = (b_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t_H)$ . Consider maximum  $m$ -cliques  $K_p, K_q$  of graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. Clearly the  $m$ -clique  $K_p + K_q = K_{(p+q)}$  is a maximum  $m$ -clique of  $G + H$ . So, the number of maximum  $m$ -cliques of  $G + H$  is given  $a_{1,p} \cdot b_{1,q} = (a \cdot b)_{1,(p+q)} = \diamond(G) \cdot \diamond(H)$ .

(ii): Determine the sequences  $(a_{i,c_i} \cdot b_{j,c_j} : 1 \leq j \leq t_H)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t_G$ . Now construct the sequence  $\biguplus_{i=1}^{t_G} (a_{i,c_i} \cdot b_{j,c_j} : 1 \leq j \leq t_H)$ . Since it is possible for some  $c_i \neq c_k$  and  $c_j \neq c_m$ , then  $c_i + c_j = c_k + c_m$ , it follows that  $\left| \text{simp} \left( \biguplus_{i=1}^{t_G} (a_{i,c_i} \cdot b_{j,c_j} : 1 \leq j \leq t_H) \right) \right| \leq \left| \biguplus_{i=1}^{t_G} (a_{i,c_i} \cdot b_{j,c_j} : 1 \leq j \leq t_H) \right|$ . Hence,  $|s^\diamond(G + H)| \leq |s^\diamond(G)| \cdot |s^\diamond(H)|$ .  $\square$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1(i) it follows that the maximum  $m$ -clique of  $G + H$  is  $K_{(p+q)}$  and similarly, the minimum (smallest)  $m$ -clique of  $G + H$  is  $K_{(c_{t_G} + c_{t_H})}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** From the Fisher table (Theorem 2.12),  $s^\diamond(J_n^*(x))$  can be determined iteratively.

**Example 3.1.** For  $J_{10}(x)$  we have  $s^\diamond(J_{10}^*(x)) = (1_5, 2_4, 1_3, 2_1)$  and for  $J_{12}^*(x)$  we have  $s^\diamond(J_{12}^*(x)) = (3_5, 2_4, 1_3, 2_1)$ .

### 3.1 Characterization of $m$ -Clique Sequences

Graphic sequences have been well studied. First work was done to characterize a degree sequence. That is, to determine whether or not a sequence of positive integers can be the degree sequence of some graph. A similar question now arises in respect of  $m$ -clique sequences. A finite sequence of positive integers each indexed with a distinct positive integer subscript is *clique graphical* or *c-graphical* if it is the  $m$ -clique sequence of some graph. Since,  $c_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_t = 1$  is possible, a disconnected graph embodiment is possible as well. A graph embodiment  $G$  corresponding to some mathematical object is said to be *maximal connected* if the number of components of  $G$  is a minimum.

**Theorem 3.3.** Any finite sequence of positive integers each indexed with a distinct positive integer subscript is maximal connected  $c$ -graphical.

*Proof.* Consider any finite sequence  $(a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)$ ,  $t$  the number of entries (elements) in the sequence and  $a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_i \neq c_j$ .

*Case (i) (a):* If  $t = 1$  and  $a_1 = k$ ,  $c_1 = 1$ , then the null graph (edgeless)  $\mathfrak{N}_{0,k}$  is the corresponding maximal connected graph.

(b): If  $t = 1$  and  $c_1 \geq 2$ , construct  $G_1^* = Q_1$ -cloverlike from  $a_1$  copies of  $K_{c_1}$ . Clearly  $s^\diamond(G_1^*) = (a_{1,c_1})$ .

*Case (ii) (a):* If  $t \geq 2$  and  $c_t \geq 2$  choose any two entries  $a_{i,c_i}, a_{j,c_j}$ ,  $c_i > c_j$  and consider  $a_i$  copies of  $Q_1 = K_{c_i}$  and  $a_j$  copies of  $Q_2 = K_{c_j}$ , respectively. Construct a  $G_1^* = Q_1$ -cloverlike graph and a  $G_2^* = Q_2$ -cloverlike graph. Now construct a graph  $H_2^*$  by merging

any vertex  $v \in V(G_1^*)$  with any vertex  $u \in V(G_2^*)$ . Clearly,  $s^\diamond(H_2^*) = (a_{i,c_i}, a_{j,c_j})$ . It follows that a  $G_3^* = Q_3$ -cloverlike graph can be constructed from  $a_k$  copies of  $K_{c_k}$ . Now the graph  $H_3^*$  can be constructed by merging any vertex  $v \in V(H_2^*)$  and  $u \in V(G_3^*)$ . For  $H_3^*$  the  $\diamond$ -sequence is  $s^\diamond(H_3^*) = (a_{i,c_i}, a_{j,c_j}, a_{k,c_k})$ , or  $(a_{i,c_i}, a_{k,c_k}, a_{j,c_j})$ , or  $(a_{k,c_k}, a_{i,c_i}, a_{j,c_j})$ . Because the sequence is finite it is always possible to iteratively choose an entry once, one at a time to construct the graph  $H_t^*$  for which  $s^\diamond(H_t^*)$  corresponds to the appropriate re-ordering of the entries of the initial sequence. This is possible in  $t$  steps.

- (b): If  $c_t = 1$  construct a minimal connected graph  $G'$  as in Case (ii)(a) for  $(a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t - 1)$ . Then  $G = G' \cup \mathfrak{N}_{0,a_t}$  is a corresponding maximal connected graph.  $\square$

Clearly a graph for which the  $m$ -clique sequence corresponds to a given sequence of positive integers each indexed with a positive integer subscript is not unique. We now determine such a graph with minimum edges. We introduce a concept for intersecting set-objects similar to that found in set theory. Let set  $A_1, A_2$  each be a copy of the same set-object  $A$ . Construct a set-object  $B = \{\text{object-elements of } A\}$ ,  $|B| \leq |A|$ . Set-object  $B$  is also denoted  $B = A_1 \cap^+ A_2$ . If  $B = A_1 \cap^+ A_2 = A$  we say it is a complete intersection. If  $|B| = |A_1 \cap^+ A_2| < |A|$  we say it is an incomplete intersection. Applying these concepts to graphs we find for two copies of a cycle  $A_1 = C_n, A_2 = C_n$  that a complete intersection is given by  $B = A_1 \cap^+ A_2 = C_n$ . On the other hand, a maximal incomplete intersection is given by  $B = A_1 \cap^+ A_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \dots, v_{n-2}v_{n-1}\}$ . Hence, two copies of the vertex  $v_n$  and two copies of the edges  $v_{n-1}v_n, v_1v_n$  are excluded. Similarly, take a copy of the complete graph  $K_{n-1}$ . Add  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  vertices  $v'_1, v'_2, v'_3, \dots, v'_m$  and connect each vertex completely to  $K_{n-1}$ , only. The new graph denoted,  $K_n^{\cap^+}$  has  $\diamond(K_n^{\cap^+}) = m$ ,  $s^\diamond(K_n^{\cap^+}) = (m_n)$  and the maximal incomplete intersection corresponding to  $\cap_{\sqrt{K_n}}^+ K_n = K_{n-1}$ . This observation of a maximal incomplete intersection of graphs provides the basis for the *Minimal Graphical Embodiment Algorithm for a Sequence*.

### 3.2 Minimal Graphical Embodiment Algorithm for a Sequence (MGEAS)

Let the finite sequence be  $(a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)$ ,  $t$  the number of entries (elements) in the sequence and  $a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{N}, c_i \neq c_j$ .

*Step 0:* Re-order the entries to obtain the sequence  $(b_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)$ ,  $t$  the number of entries (elements) in the sequence and  $b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{N}, c_i > c_{i+1}$ . Set  $G_0^* = K_1$ . Go to step 1.

*Step 1:* Let  $i = 1$ , set  $j = i$ . Go to step 2.

*Step 2:* If  $j > t$ , go to step 6, else go to step 3.

*Step 3:* Consider  $a_j$  copies of  $Q_j = K_{c_j}$  and construct  $G_j^* = Q_j^{\cap^+}$ . Go to step 4.

*Step 4:* Join  $G_{j-1}^*, G_j^*$  by merging any two vertices  $u \in V(G_{j-1}^*)$  and  $v \in V(G_j^*)$ . Go to step 5.

*Step 5:* Set  $i = j + 1$ , then set  $j = i$ . Go to step 2.

*Step 6:* Exit.

**Theorem 3.4** (Ramokgopa’s theorem). <sup>2</sup> For a finite sequence  $(a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)$ ,  $t$  the number of entries (elements) in the sequence and  $a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_i > c_{i+1}$  the graph  $G_t^*$  obtained from the MGEAS, has:

- (i)  $\diamond(G_t^*) = a_1$ .
- (ii)  $s^\diamond(G_t^*) = (a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)$ .
- (iii)  $\epsilon(G_t^*) = \min\{\epsilon(G) : s^\diamond(G) = (a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)\}$ .
- (iv)  $\epsilon(G_t^*) = \sum_{i=1}^t \left(\frac{1}{2}(c_i - 1)(c_i - 2) + a_i(c_i - 1)\right)$ .

*Proof.* (i): Since  $c_1 > c_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq t$ , a maximum clique of  $G_t^*$  is  $K_{c_1}$ . Following from MGEAS, exactly  $a_1$  maximum cliques exist hence,  $\diamond(G_t^*) = a_1$ .

(ii): Following from Step 3 each  $G_j^* = Q_j^{\cap+}$  corresponds to  $a_j$  maximum cliques,  $K_{c_j}$  of  $G_j^*$  which is equivalent to  $a_j$  maximal cliques of  $G_t^*$ . The latter is true because the merging of any two vertices (step 4) does not increase or decrease the order of maximal cliques. Through immediate induction the result follows.

(iii) and (iv): Consider any entry  $a_{i,c_i}$ . The complete graph  $K_{c_i-1}$  has a minimum of  $\frac{1}{2}(c_i-1)(c_i-2)$  edges. Add the vertices  $v'_1, v'_2, v'_3, \dots, v'_{a_i}$  and construct  $K_{a_i}^{\cap+}$ . Hence, the complete connection of any vertex  $v'_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq a_i$  added exactly, hence minimum,  $c_i - 1$  edges. Therefore  $\epsilon(K_{a_i}^{\cap+}) = \frac{1}{2}(c_i - 1)(c_i - 2) + a_i(c_i - 1)$  edges. Because the merging of any two vertices (step 4) does not increase or decrease the order of maximal cliques the total number of edges of  $G_t^*$  is a minimum. Therefore,  $\epsilon(G_t^*) = \min\{\epsilon(G) : s^\diamond(G) = (a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)\}$ . Finally,  $\epsilon(G_t^*) = \sum_{i=1}^t \left(\frac{1}{2}(c_i - 1)(c_i - 2) + a_i(c_i - 1)\right)$ .  $\square$

Consider any path  $P_n = v_1e_1v_2e_2v_3e_3 \dots e_{n-1}v_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Substitute each edge  $e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  with a complete graph  $K_{n_i}$ ,  $v_i, v_{i+1} \in V(K_{n_i})$ . We say that the complete graphs  $K_{n_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  have been joined *path-like* or, *p-like* for brevity.

**Proposition 3.5.** For a finite sequence  $(a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)$ ,  $t$  the number of entries (elements) in the sequence and  $a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_i > c_{i+1}$  the graph  $G_t^{(p)}$  obtained by joining all maximal cliques  $K_{c_i}$ , ( $a_i$  copies) for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , *p-like* then  $\epsilon(G_t^{(p)}) = \max\{\epsilon(G) : s^\diamond(G) = (a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)\} = \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{2}a_i \cdot c_i(c_i - 1)$ .

*Proof.* Similar reasoning to that found in the proof of Ramokgopa’s theorem (Theorem 3.4) yields the result.  $\square$

Other graphs are in existence as well and if a minimal graphical embodiment is not required, the following results holds.

**Proposition 3.6.** For a finite sequence  $(a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)$ ,  $t$  the number of entries (elements) in the sequence and  $a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_i > c_{i+1}$ , a corresponding maximal connected graph embodiment has

<sup>2</sup>The first author wishes to dedicate this theorem to Cllr Kgosientso Ramokgopa, the Executive Mayor of the City of Tshwane to thank him for his brilliant innovation leadership during his term in Office.

order:

$$v(G) \leq \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^t a_i - 1, & \text{if } c_t \geq 2, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} a_i + (a_t - 1), & \text{if } c_t = 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* (i): If  $c_t \geq 2$  consider  $a_i, 1 \leq i \leq t$  copies of each  $m$ -clique  $K_{a_i}$ , and connect them  $p$ -like by merging a distinct pair of vertices between the complete graphs. Since a *vertex count* of 1 is lost with each merge, the result,  $\max\{v(G)\} = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i - 1$ , follows through immediate induction.

(ii): If  $c_t = 1$ , repeat the construction in (i) in respect of  $(a_i, c_i : 1 \leq i \leq t - 1)$  to obtain the corresponding connected graph  $G'$  of maximum order. Hence,  $G = G' \cup \mathfrak{N}_{0, a_t}$  is the maximal connected graphical embodiment with  $\max\{v(G)\} = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} a_i + (a_t - 1)$ . □

### 4. Maximal Clique Density of Certain Graphs

If the order of a maximum clique found in  $G$  is  $c_1 = s$ , then the maximum  $m$ -clique density of graph  $G$  is given by  $p_s(G) = \frac{a_1}{\binom{v(G)}{s}} = \frac{\diamond(G)}{\binom{v(G)}{s}}$ . It is important to note that for a complete graph  $K_n$  we have:

$$p_s(K_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq s \leq n - 1 \text{ or } s > n, \\ 1, & s = n. \end{cases}$$

To the contrary if one wishes to choose  $s$  vertices from  $V(K_n)$  so that the vertices induce a complete graph the probability denoted  $p'_s(K_n)$  is given by:

$$p'_s(K_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & s > n, \\ 1, & 1 \leq s \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Through immediate induction it follows that in general,  $p_s(G) \leq p'_s(G)$ . If  $c_1 \leq \ell \leq c_{t_G}$  is chosen uniformly at random, then  $p_\ell(G) = \frac{1}{c_{t_G}} \cdot \frac{a_i}{\binom{v(G)}{\ell}}, \ell = c_i$ . Assume that the integrity of technology units is measured at the level of the integrity of maximal cliques in the technology configuration. Assume the integrity failure is signaled by any order of a maximal clique containing the failed technology unit. Then the results can be applied to optimal fault detection.

**Example 4.1.** Consider a wheel  $W_{1,n}$  with central vertex  $u$  and cyclic vertices  $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . So  $\diamond(W_{1,n}) = n$  and  $s^\diamond(W_{1,n}) = (n_3)$ . If any technology unit fails the fault signal indicates a maximal clique of order 3 has failed. Choosing uniformly at random any 3 vertices say  $v_i, v_j, v_k$  and finding that  $\langle v_i, v_j, v_k \rangle = K_3$  has probability  $p_3(W_{1,n}) = \frac{n}{\binom{n+1}{3}} = \frac{6}{n^2-1}$ .

*Case (i):* If the failure is at  $u$  the probability of detecting the fault on the first fault search by uniformly at random, choosing any 3 vertices is exactly  $\frac{6}{n^2-1}$  because  $u$  is common to all  $m$ -cliques.

Case (ii): However, if the failure is at vertex  $v_i$  the probability of detecting the fault on the first fault search by uniformly at random, choosing any 3 vertices is  $\frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{6}{n^2-1} = \frac{12}{n(n^2-1)}$ , because  $v_i$  is common to two  $K_3$  amongst the  $\diamond(W_{1,n}) = n$ ,  $m$ -cliques (all  $K_3$ ).

**Remark 4.1.** Search and detection by  $m$ -clique density is not optimal. By using an efficient clique detection algorithm, Case (i) can be resolved at a probability of 1. Hence, find any  $K_3$  and the fault will be detected at  $u$ . Case (ii) can be resolved at a probability of  $\frac{2}{n}$ .

## 5. Conclusion and Scope for Research

The paper reported on a variety of introductory results of a new graph invariant called the  $m$ -clique load of a graph  $G$ . The concept of the  $m$ -clique sequence or  $\diamond$ -sequence of a graph  $G$  with  $\epsilon(G) \geq 1$  was also introduced. Determining the  $\diamond$ -sequence of different classes of graphs and of different graph products will certainly be interesting to unravel. Because this is a new sequence defined for graphs the determination of the curling number of the corresponding  $\diamond$ -sequences will be worthy research. To research the latter sensibly, the order subscript(s) will have to be lobbed off. That is, an entry  $a_{i_{c_i}}$  must be considered to be  $a_i$  only. Finding Nordhaus-Gaddum-type inequalities seems intuitively possible and remains open at this stage. Undoubtedly graph coloring and labeling will lead to results in terms of the clique load of a graph  $G$ . It means that the notion of clique load has at least an auxiliary application to coloring and labeling of graphs.

Conjecture 2.2.1 remains open. Also the brief introduction to maximal  $m$ -clique density in Section 4 calls for further research.

**Open problem.** Up to isomorphism, find the number of distinct  $G_t^{(p)}$  graphs corresponding to a finite sequence  $(a_{i,c_i} : 1 \leq i \leq t)$ ,  $t$  the number of entries (elements) in the sequence and  $a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_i > c_{i+1}$ .

Formalising Observation 2.2 remains open as well.

### Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- [1] H. Abdo and D. Dimitrov, The total irregularity of some composite graphs, *International Journal of Computer Applications*, **122** (21) (2015), pp. 1–9.
- [2] A.E. Andreev and S. Jukna, Very large cliques are easy to detect, *Discrete Mathematics*, **308** (2008), 3717–3721.
- [3] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan Press, London (1976).

- [4] C. Bron and J. Kerbosch, Algorithm 457: Finding all cliques of an undirected graph, *Communications of the ACM*, **16** (9) (1973), pp. 575–579.
- [5] J.T. Gross and J. Yellen, *Graph Theory and its Applications*, CRC Press (2006).
- [6] B. Hedman, The maximum number of cliques in dense graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, **54**(1985), 161-166.
- [7] C. Hoede, Hard graphs for the maximum clique problem, *Discrete Mathematics*, **72** (1988), 175–179.
- [8] J. Kok, P. Fisher, B. Wilkens, M. Mabula and V. Mukungunugwa, Characteristics of Finite Jaco Graphs,  $J_n(1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , *arXiv: 1404.0484v1* [math.CO], 2 April 2014.
- [9] J. Kok, K.P. Chithra, N.K. Sudev and C. Susanth, A study on set-graphs, *International Journal of Computer Applications*, **118** (7) (May 2015), pp. 1–5.
- [10] J. Kok, C. Susanth and S.J. Kalayathankal, A study on linear jaco graphs, *Journal of Informatics and Mathematical Sciences*, **7** (2) (2015), 69–80.
- [11] J. Kok, N.K. Sudev and K.P. Chithra, A study on edge-set graphs of certain graphs, *International Journal of Computer Applications*, **127** (6) (October 2015), pp. 1–5.
- [12] P.R.J. Östergård, A fast algorithm for the maximum clique problem, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **120** (2002), 197–207.